# 6. UPPER-AIR METEOROLOGY MEASUREMENTS

A key component of SCOS97 is the collection and validation of upper air meteorological data. The upper air data is intended to allow for the generation of gridded 3-dimensional wind and temperature fields sufficient for use to understand complex source-receptor relationships in southern California. Several sites are planned to have monitoring by more than one type of instrument (e.g., radar wind profiler and rawinsonde); this allows for daily comparisons of collocated measurements as well as help in data validation after the field study concludes.

This quality assurance plan is based principally upon guidelines from the report "Draft Guidelines for the Quality Assurance and Management of PAMS Upper-Air Meteorological Data (STI, 1995). Quality assurance for upper air monitoring has the following components: 1) siting and installation (includes testing); 2) periodic review of data (frequent inspection of collected data and evaluation of it's reasonableness); 3) system audits and performance audits; 4) a plan for quickly correcting problems; 5) data acquisition and processing; and 6) data validation and archival.

Aerovironment Environmental Services, Inc. (AVES) will review the measurement groups standard operating procedures, siting and set-up, quality control procedures, and procedures for communication and resolution of problems. The review will compare proposed procedures with procedures detailed in this QA plan (based on PAMS upper-air guidelines). AVES will also review candidate monitoring sites and do system and performance audits of the upper-air meteorological network. AVES presented preliminary quality assurance methodologies in their proposal (AVES, 1996); these proposed methods are consistent with procedures listed in this QA plan and the PAMS upper-air guidelines. Final procedures shall be approved by the SCOS97 upper-air quality assurance manager.

NOAA's Environmental Technology Laboratory (ETL) will be the data manager for the upper-air meteorology data. ETL will be responsible for data acquisition and processing, periodic review of data, and data validation and archival. The procedures are given in the ETL proposal (NOAA/ETL, 1996).

#### 6.1 Sampling Site Selection Criteria

The general locations of upper-air meteorological monitoring sites (Table 1-3) have been selected by the Meteorology Working Group. Detailed criteria for precisely locating sites are given in this section.

#### Site needs include:

- A flat location, clear of obstacles, and with good drainage
- Sufficient electrical power
- An environmentally controlled instrument shelter

- A fence around the equipment and shelter, or other sufficient security measures
- A remote communications link

# Sodar and RWP/RASS sites have additional needs:

- Locate sodars and RASS away from areas that would result in annoyances due to the sound waves emitted from these instruments. Thus, before locating instruments, evaluate the expected effects (intensity, exposed population, time of day) of the instruments upon sensitive receptors.
- Avoid noise sources (roads, industrial processes, air conditioners, etc.) that may
  interfere with sodars and RASS. Provide sufficient acoustic shielding to minimize the
  effects of noise. Measure noise levels at the potential site during the expected highest
  noise period of the day, if possible. Noise levels should be below 60 dBA; below 50
  dBA is preferable.
- Building, trees, power lines, etc. may reflect sodar and radar pulses and contaminates
  the data. Sites should be chosen that minimize obstructions taller than 15 degrees
  above the horizon. If objects extend above 15 degrees elevation, the beams should be
  located away from these objects.

#### At Rawinsonde sites:

- The vicinity of the site should be free of trees, tall buildings, power lines, and other
  objects that may snag the balloon or instrument package or interfere with tracking the
  sonde.
- If a Loran system is used, sufficient signal coverage should be established before establishing the site.

# 6.2 Installation

- Sodar, RWP, and radio theodolite system antennas should be aligned to true north using the solar siting technique (USEPA, 1989).
- Determine the latitude, longitude, and elevation of the site using detailed maps or a Global Positioning System (GPS) instrument.
- Site documentation: Take photographs in each of four cardinal directions, and of all shelter, instruments, and other equipment. Videotape the site, including a 360 degree view of the surrounding area. Produce a detailed site layout plan documenting location of the instruments, shelter, and other equipment. Produce a diagram that describes all objects and topographic features around the site in 30 to 45 degree

increments. Include potential sources of clutter and noise sources, and distance to and elevation angle of objects.

- After installation, perform acceptance testing of the instrument following the manufacturer's procedures.
- When system becomes operational, check data for reasonableness. This is best done using collocated meteorological data from surface or upper air meteorological data. If collocated data is not available, nearby upper air data and the judgement of a person familiar with expected conditions at the site can be used.

# 6.3 Monitoring Site Locations

Proposed upper-air monitoring site locations were listed in Table 1-3. Locating precise locations is in process. Some modifications may be made to the site listing due to the potential inability to find suitable sites in the desired areas.

# 6.4 Sampling Procedures

#### 6.4.1 Sodars

The optimum type of sodar and sampling procedure depends upon the intended use of the data. If high vertical resolution is needed over a relatively shallow layer, high frequency minisodars are appropriate. Mini-sodars give vertical resolution on the order of 5-20 m up to about 300 m or less AGL. If a greater depth is needed, with less resolution required, standard sodars with vertical resolution of 20-50 m and coverage typically to about 1000 m, are appropriate. For sodars collocated with radar wind profilers, a lower maximum height with greater vertical resolution may be appropriate because coverage above the sodar range is given by the RWP. For sodars located without collocated RWP, higher vertical range is usually needed. For SCOS97, the flows of interest should be sufficiently resolved by using standard sodars. For sites collocated with radar wind profilers it may be desired to operate the sodars to maximize vertical resolution.

#### 6.4.2 Radar Wind Profilers/RASS

RWP/RASS are typically operated in the RASS mode for the first 5-10 minutes of the hour, then in the RWP mode for the remaining 50-55 minutes. At the end of each hour, hourly consensus wind and temperature profiles are computed, although they are not true hourly averages. The data can then be reviewed on a near real-time basis for forecasting purposes and quality assurance. For more reliable wind and temperature data, and a closer representation of a true hourly average, continuous, interleaved sampling of wind and RASS derived temperature may be done. In this mode, the oblique beams are sampled for 20-30 seconds, then the vertical beam with RASS is sampled for 20-30 seconds; this creates a profile for each 20-30 second sampling period. Post-processing must then be performed to obtain hourly averaged data. This

post-processing has not yet been developed to routinely provide near real-time hourly averaged data, as is needed for the forecasting aspects of SCOS97. NOAA/ETL is working to make this available in time for application to SCOS97.

The data objectives are to provide upper-level wind and temperature data meeting the data quality objectives within 3 hours of collection. Due to the higher quality and better representativeness of the continuous, interleaved data, this is the preferred method. However, if continuous, interleaved hourly averaged data cannot be provided within a few hours of collection, the standard method of 5-10 minutes RASS, followed by 50-55 minutes of wind data should be used.

# 6.4.3 Rawinsondes

Rawinsondes should be released at least 4 times per day (USEPA, 1995b). They should be timed to capture the early morning stable boundary layer conditions, convective boundary layer (CBL) development in mid-morning, the fully developed CBL in mid-day, and the nocturnal boundary layer (STI, 1995). For SCOS97, these times may be modified or supplemented to capture important features of local flows, such as the sea-breeze front, return flows aloft, and valley and slope flows.

# 6.5 Periodic Review of Data

Upper air data for SCOS97 should be evaluated daily for operational status and reasonableness. Each day (at least Monday through Friday) a meteorologist or other person knowledgeable about upper air meteorological patterns in southern California should examine the data for each site for the past 24 hours for reasonableness. Time-height cross-sections plots provide for an efficient way of inspecting data at one site. Spatial patterns at a few selected heights would also be helpful for noting suspicious data. Data from collocated and nearby sites should be compared for consistency. If data are not being received, or appear to be invalid during part or all of the 24 hour period, the organization responsible for collecting the data should be contacted immediately. The responsible organization should promptly evaluate whether a problem does exist, and remedy any problems as soon as possible. Organizations responsible for periodic review of data are specified in Section 6.10.

# 6.6 System Audits

System audits are used to evaluate whether a system is set-up correctly and that standard operating procedures are being followed. System audits should be conducted at all upper air monitoring sites shortly after start-up of the SCOS97 field work and again shortly before ending the field work component of the study. System audits will be performed by Aerovironment Environmental Services, Inc. The list of system audit checks for rawinsondes, sodars, and RWP/RASS from the STI (1995) draft report should be used. It appears below:

#### 6.6.1 Remote Profiler Instrumentation (Sodar, Radar Wind Profiler/RASS)

A routine check of the monitoring station should be performed to ensure that the local technician is following all the standard operating procedures. In addition, the following items should be checked:

- The antenna and controller interface cables should be inspected for proper connection.
   If multi-axis antennas are used, this will include checking for the proper direction of the interface connections.
- Orientation checks should be performed on the individual antennas, or phased-array antenna. The checks should be verified using solar sitings when possible. The measured orientation of the antennas should be compared with the system software settings of the system. The antenna alignment should be maintained within ± 2°, which is consistent with wind direction vane alignment criteria specified by the USEPA (1995b).
- For multi-axis antennas, the inclination angle, or zenith angle from the vertical, should be verified against the software settings and the manufacturer's recommendations. The measured zenith angle should be within  $\pm$  0.5° of the software settings in the data system.
- For phased-array antennas, and for the vertical antenna in a multi-axis system, the level of the antenna should be within  $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$  of the vertical.
- For multi-axis sodar systems, a separate distinct pulse, or pulse train in the case of frequency coded pulse systems, should be heard from each of the antennas. In a frequency-coded pulse system, there may be a sound pattern that can be verified. The instrument manual should be checked to see if there is such a pattern.
- For sodar systems, general noise levels should be measured, in dBa, to assess ambient
  conditions and their potential influence on the altitude capabilities of the sodar. In
  general, levels below 50 dBA indicate a quiet site, while levels above 60 dBA are
  quite noisy. The altitude coverage of the sodar will be directly related to the ambient
  noise at the site.
- A tabular vista diagram should be prepared documenting the surroundings of the site in 30° to 45° increments. The diagram should identify any potential sources clutter and reflective and active noise sources in each of the directions, as well as the approximate distance to the objects and their elevation angle above the horizon. This information in useful in assessing the effects of the environment on the data collected. As part of this documentation, photographs in various directions may be helpful for interpreting information in the tabular diagram. If this documentation exists in the monitoring plan for the site, it should be reviewed for accuracy.

- The controller and data collection devices should be checked to ensure the instruments are operating in the proper mode and that the data being collected are those specified by the SOP's.
- Station logbooks, checklists, and calibration forms should be reviewed for completeness and content to assure the entries are commensurate with the expectations in the procedures for the site.
- The site operator should be interviewed to determine his/her knowledge of system operation, maintenance, and proficiency in the performance of QC checks.
- The antenna enclosures should be inspected for structural integrity that may cause failures as well as any sign of debris or animal or insect nests that may cause drainage problems in the event of rain or snow.
- Preventative maintenance procedures should be reviewed for adequacy and implementation.
- The time clocks on the data acquisition systems should be checked and compared to a standard of ± 2 minutes.
- The data processing procedures and the methods for processing the data from subhourly to hourly intervals should be reviewed for appropriateness.
- Data collected over a several-day period should be reviewed for reasonableness and
  consistency. The review should include vertical consistency within given profiles and
  temporal consistency from period to period. For radar wind profilers and sodars,
  special attention should be given to the possibility of ground clutter (i.e., fixed
  echoes) and/or active noise source contamination in the data.

#### 6.6.2 Rawinsondes

An entire launch cycle should be observed, from the operator's arrival at the site through completion of the sounding and securing of the station, to ensure that he site technician is following the appropriate procedures. The following items should be checked:

- Ground station initialization procedures for proper setup.
- Sonde initialization procedures for proper sonde calibration.
- Balloon inflation for proper ascent rate.
- Orientation check of a radio theodolite's antenna using solar sitings when possible.
   Procedures for solar sitings are described in USEPA (1995b). The antenna alignment

should be maintained within  $\pm 2$ , which is consistent with wind direction vane alignment criteria provided in USEPA (1995b).

- Verification that the vertical angle of a radio theodolite's antenna is within ± 0.5
- Data acquisition procedures and on-site inspection of the acquired data.
- Data archiving and backup procedures.
- Flight termination and shutdown of the system.
- Preventive maintenance procedures and implementation.
- Data processing and validation procedures to check that questionable data are appropriately flagged and that processing algorithms do not excessively smooth the data.
- Data from several representative launches should be reviewed for reasonableness and consistency.

#### 6.7 Performance Audits

A performance audit is intended to provide a measure of the performance of a measurement system. With upper air measurements, the concept of performance audits is somewhat altered, because there is not typically a known reference value for each measurements. Sodars and radar wind profilers measure winds over varying spatial and temporal (typically 1 hour) domains. Rawinsondes measure winds that are closer to instantaneous in time and spatially averaged over a small, nearly vertical path. Thus, direct comparisons are not possible. However, comparisons among the different measurement types (RWP, sodar and rawinsonde winds; RASS and rawinsonde derived virtual temperature) can give an overall indication of instrument performance and can be useful in data validation for periods with collocated data. The SCOS97 study plan calls for collocated upper air measurements using different instrument type at several locations. These can serve as long term performance audits for the radar wind profilers. Performance audits will be conducted by Aerovironment Environmental Services, Inc.

#### 6.7.1 Sodars

An acoustic pulse transponder (APT) shall be used in sodar performance audits to test the ability of the instrument to correctly interpret test signals representing known wind speeds. Audit results should be within  $\pm 0.2$  ms<sup>-1</sup> on a component basis. Audits using an APT should be conducted over at least three averaging intervals and over a range of wind speeds corresponding to those normally observed. These audits should be conducted near the beginning of the field study at each sodar site, simultaneously with the first system audit.

#### 6.7.2 Radar Wind Profilers

Collocated sodars and rawinsondes at several sites can be used for performance audits. If additional performance audits are needed, sodars and/or rawinsondes may be used. If rawinsondes are used, at least 3 soundings covering the diurnal cycle should be taken. If sodars are used, the sodars should be configured to match the RWP data in temporal and spatial averaging as much as possible. The sodars should be run for at least 24 hours, and data compared to the RWP data while on-site. Comparisons between sodars and rawinsondes and RWP should be done when winds are at least 2 ms<sup>-1</sup>.

## 6.7.3 Rawinsondes

Performance audits of rawinsondes are of little value because the instruments (radiosondes) are used only once, and their general performance characteristics are well established. However, instruments that provide ground truthing prior to launch (thermometer, RH sensor, psychrometer, barometric pressure sensor) should be audited by reference instruments traceable to a known standard. Performance audits of each rawinsonde site should be performed along with the system audit at the beginning of the SCOS97 field study.

#### 6.7.4 RASS

RASS performance audits shall be done using collocated rawinsondes to provide virtual temperature.

# 6.8 Corrective Actions

If problems are identified through system or performance audits, inspection of the data, or by other methods, a plan of action is needed to quickly correct such problems and avoid any further loss or corruption of data. Each contractor or agency responsible for collecting upper-air meteorological data shall submit a plan for identification and remediation of problems. Any problems noted by the audit contractor during system and performance audits shall be reported to the station operator before leaving the site. For sites without station operators on site, the contractor (principal investigator) responsible for the site will be contacted while at the site. For problems not correctly immediately, the auditor will also notify the SCOS97 Upper-air Quality Assurance Manager. If the audit results suggest that previously or currently collected data are suspect, the auditor shall notify the principal investigator and Upper-air Quality Assurance Manager and supply copies of audit reports as soon as practical.

# 6.9 Data Acquisition and Processing

The upper-air data acquisition and processing procedures for SCOS97 are described in the NOAA/ETL proposal (NOAA/ETL, 1996). A summary of procedures are presented here. Each contractor or agency responsible for RWP/RASS data collection shall provide for the near real-time transfer of consensus hourly-averaged data to the NOAA/ETL (SCOS97 Upper air database manager). ETL shall coordinate data submittals from each upper-air meteorology

measurement group and receive and evaluate sample data submittals before the beginning of the field study (June 15, 1997). ETL will process the data and make it available on the Internet via the World Wide Web, after performing Level-1 validation. All data used for determining vertical temperature and wind profiles shall be stored, including complete spectral moment data. All spectral moment data shall be transferred to ETL on a schedule to be determined by ETL.

#### 6.10 Data Validation

Level-1 validation will be performed automatically by ETL shortly after the data is received using time/height consistency checks for the RWP/RASS and sodar, and height consistency checks for the rawinsondes. Graphical displays of level-1 validated upper level winds and temperatures will be inspected by ETL. Level-2 validation includes comparing collocated measurements in time and height and network-wide consistency in time, and space. Automated procedures for level-2 will be applied to the data and made available within 3 hours of receipt. After completion of the field study, all data products will be reviewed by trained personnel familiar with the study area.

On a daily basis, ETL will review data from each site to determine if any problems are likely to exist. ETL will contact the site operator who will confirm or deny suspected problems and to remedy any problems. Additional data validation will occur during data analysis activities, when data may appear inconsistent with other analysis and modeling results.

Also on a daily basis, personnel at the South Coast Air Quality Management District familiar with upper-air meteorological patterns in the study area shall review the upper-air data made available by ETL via the Internet. The data shall be visually inspected for reasonableness; any suspected problems shall be reported to the organization responsible for the measurement.

### 6.11 Data Archival

Upper air data archival format and location should be specified by the SCOS97 Data Manager in consultation with the Upper-Air Quality Assurance Manager. STI (1995) identified the following desired features of upper-air data:

- Ability to use the data across a range of computer systems.
- The format should be independent of the instruments used to collect the data.
- Ability to store quality control information. Data validation level should be identified and stored with the data.
- Ability to store the data in ASCII and/or binary formats.
- Ability to include self-documentation that describes the content of the data sets.
- Ability to use commercial off-the-shelf software to read, sort, and manipulate the data.

# 7. VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND MEASUREMENTS

Title I, Section 182, of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 required the Environmental Protection Agency to revise exisiting current ambient air quality surveillance regulations. The rule required States with areas classified as serious, severe, or extreme for ozone nonattainment to establish enhanced ambient air monitoring stations called Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS) as part of their State Implementation Plan (SIP) (Federal Register, 1993). Each station measures speciated hydrocarbons and carbonyl compounds, ozone, oxides of nitrogen, and surface meteorological data. Provisions of the rules require the operation of up to five PAMS stations in each nonattainment area depending on population. Additionally, each area must monitor upper air meteorology at one representative site. In California, PAMS is required in Ventura County, and the South Coast, Southeast Desert and San Diego air basins. The program began in 1994, and is being phased-in over a five year schedule at a rate of at least one station per area per year.

The plan for measurements of volatile organic compounds (VOC) for the SCOS97-NARSTO program is built upon the foundation provided by the PAMS networks in the study region. PAMS ozone precursor monitoring is conducted annually in California during the peak ozone season (July 1 to September 30). As is shown in Table 1-1, there will be 13 PAMS in operation during SCOS97-NARSTO (three in Ventura County; five in the South Coast Air Basin, one in the Southeast Desert Air Basin, and four in the San Diego Air Basin). Four 3-hour samples are collected every third day during the monitoring period at all PAMS sites for speciated hydrocarbon and at Type 2 sites only for carbonyl compounds. In addition to the regularly scheduled measurements, samples are collected on a forecast basis during five highozone episodes of at least two consecutive days. Episodic measurements consist of four samples per day for speciated hydrocarbon at all PAMS sites and for carbonyl compounds at Type 2 sites. Ventura County Air Pollution Control District and the San Diego Air Pollution Control District will supplement their existing sampling schedule to include all SCOS97 IOP days. Field operators from the University of California, Riverside College of Engineering - Center for Environmental Research and Technology (CE-CERT) will collect VOC samples in the SoCAB Airborne VOC samples will also be collected onboard the four during SCOS97 IOPs. instrumented aircrafts that will be deployed during the study. These samples will be analyzed at Biospheric Research Corporation (BRC) for hydrocarbon and at Atmospheric Assessment Associates, Inc. (AtmAA) for carbonyl compounds. VOC samples will also be collected in Mexicali and Tijuana, Mexico and analyzed at the Desert Research Institute (DRI).

Intended applications for the PAMS database include ozone and precursor trends, emission inventory reconciliation and verification, population exposure analyses, photochemical modeling support, and control strategy evaluation. The overlapping objectives and air quality and meteorological data requirements for PAMS and SCOS97-NARSTO provide an opportunity to address the quality assurance and data validation need of both programs, and to evaluate PAMS with respect to EPA's stated goals and objectives.

#### 7.1 Sampling Site Selection Criteria

Design criteria for the PAMS network are based on selection of an array of site locations relative to ozone precursor source areas and predominant wind directions associated with high ozone events. Specific monitoring objectives are to characterize precursor emission sources within the area, transport of ozone and its precursors into and out of the area, and the photochemical processes related to ozone nonattainment, as well as developing an initial urban toxic pollutant database. A maximum of five PAMS sites are required in affected nonattainment areas, depending on the population of the Metropolitan Statistical Area/Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA/CMSA) or nonattainment area, whichever is larger. Specific monitoring objectives associated with each of these sites result in four distinct site types.

Type 1 sites are established to characterize upwind background and transported ozone and its precursor concentrations entering the area and to identify those areas which are subjected to overwhelming transport. Type 1 sites are located in the predominant morning upwind direction from the local area of maximum precursor emissions during the ozone season. Typically, Type 1 sites will be located near the edge of the photochemical grid model domain in the predominant upwind direction from the city limits or fringe of the urbanized area.

Type 2 sites are established to monitor the magnitude and type of precursor emissions in the area where maximum precursor emissions are expected. These sites also are suited for the monitoring of urban air toxic pollutants. Type 2 sites are located immediately downwind of the area of maximum precursor emissions and are typically placed near the downwind boundary of the central business district. Additionally, a second Type 2 site may be required depending on the size of the area, and will be placed in the second-most predominant morning wind direction.

Type 3 sites are intended to monitor maximum ozone concentrations occurring downwind from the area of maximum precursor emissions. Typically, Type 3 sites will be located 10 to 30 miles downwind from the fringe of the urban area.

Type 4 sites are established to characterize the extreme downwind transported ozone and its precursor concentrations exiting the area and identify those areas which are potentially contributing to overwhelming transport in other areas. Type 4 sites are located in the predominant afternoon downwind direction, as determined for the Type 3 site, from the local area of maximum precursor emissions during the ozone season. Typically, Type 4 sites are located near the downwind edge of the photochemical grid model domain.

#### 7.2 Installation

Sampling equipment are installed in available buildings in temperature-controlled environments with sample inlet systems and manifolds, air conditioning, and power distribution.

# 7.3 Sampling Site Locations

The current status of the implementation of PAMS by local air pollution control districts in southern California is outlined in Table 1-1. Thirteen PAMS sites will be operational by 1997 (three in Ventura County; five in the South Coast Air Basin, one in the Southeast Desert Air

Basin, and four in the San Diego Air Basin). Supplemental VOC samples will be collected by CE-CERT at San Clemente, Azusa, Los Angeles – North Main, and Burbank. VOC samples will also be collected at Mexicali and Tijuana, Mexico to characterize the southern boundary of the SCOS97-NARTSO study domain.

#### -7.4 Measurement Methods and Procedures

EPA methods TO-14 and TO-11 are specified by the EPA for sampling and analysis of speciated hydrocarbons and carbonyl compounds, respectively (EPA, 1991). Table 7-1 lists the species that are currently in the DRI calibration table and PAMS target hydrocarbon species. For carbonyl compounds, state and local agencies are currently required to report only formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and acetone. The districts may be able to quantify and report several  $C_3$  to  $C_7$ carbonyl compounds that appear in the HPLC chromatograms. The EPA rule requires eight 3hour hydrocarbon samples (midnight-3 am, 3-6 am, 6-9 am, 9-noon, noon-3 pm, 3-6 pm, 6-9 pm, and 9-midnight PDT) every day at Type 2 sites and every third day at all other PAMS sites. Sampling for carbonyl compounds is required at Type 2 sites only. In addition, one 24-hour sample is required every sixth day year-round at Type 2 sites and during the summer monitoring period at all other sites. Under the California Alternative Plan, four 3-hour samples (3-6 am, 6-9 am, 1-4 pm, and 5-8 pm, PDT) are collected every third day during the monitoring period at all PAMS sites for speciated hydrocarbons and at Type 2 sites only for carbonyl compounds. In addition to the regularly scheduled measurements, samples are collected on a forecast basis during up to five high-ozone episodes of at least two consecutive days. Episodic measurements consist of four samples per day (6-9 am, 9-noon, 1-4 pm and 5-8 pm, PDT) for speciated hydrocarbons at all PAMS sites and for carbonyl compounds at Type 2 sites. The VCAPCD and SDAPCD operate their PAMS sites according to the alternative plan, while SCAQMD operates theirs according to the EPA Rule. SCAQMD's 3- hour sampling schedule (on PST year round) begins one hour later than sampling times used by VCAPCD and SDAPCD (on PDT for PAMS). The PAMS 3-hour VOC sampling schedule should be consistent throughout the SCOS97 study area.

Total nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) concentrations are monitored at some PAMS sites by automated-Preconcentration Direct Injection Flame Ionization Detection (PDFID) (e.g., Xontech 850). Total NMHC is measured by passing the air sample through a chromatographic column to separate methane from other hydrocarbons and analyzing the bulk hydrocarbon sample by FID. In the FID, sample air is burned in a hydrogen flame creating a quantity of ions from the hydrogen molecules in the air sample. The ions conduct a small electrical current which is measured by an electrometer, which in turn produces an electronic signal proportional to the number of ions collected. Thus, the total hydrocarbon data are reported as parts per billion carbon (ppbC).

# 7.4.1 Hydrocarbons Sampling and Analysis Methods (Biospheric Research Corporation)

Whole-air samples for hydrocarbon analysis are collected in passivated stainless steel canisters with the aid of a pump regulated to achieve a final canister pressure above atmospheric pressure. The flow rate and sample duration are matched in order to maintain constant flow

Table 7-1

DRI Laboratory Calibration Species

Retention Time	Retention Index	Name	PAMS Compound			
	Quantitated from GS-A column:					
		ethene	p			
		acetylene	p			
		ethane	p			
•		propene	p p			
		propane	p			
		1-butene	p			
		iso-butene	•			
Quantitated fro	om DB-1 column:					
3.85		C2 compounds				
6.84		propene	p			
7.10	300.00	propane	p			
8.55	316.39	freon 12	·			
10.87	361.34	iso-butane	р			
12.41	389.71	1-butene+iso-butene	p			
12.67	393.91	1,3-butadiene	•			
12.94	400.00	n-butane	р			
13.15	404.08	methanol				
13.62	411.32	t-2-butene	p			
14.03	418.74	1&2-butyne				
14.43	426.16	c-2-butene	p			
16.18	456.77	3-methyl-1-butene				
16.44	461.60	ethanol				
16.88	470.87	acetonitrile				
17.12	474.21	isopentane	p			
17.27	476.99	acetone				
17.95	489.24	1-pentene	р			
18.31	495.92	2-methyl-1-butene				
18.53	500.00	n-pentane	p			
18.75	504.44	isoprene	p			
18.97	508.67	t-2-pentene	p			
19.35	516.33	c-2-pentene	p			
19.59	520.77	2-methyl-2-butene	p			
20.21	532.86	Freon 113	-			
20.30	535.08	2,2-dimethyl-butane	p			
20.72	543.55	2-methylpropanal	-			
21.11	552.02	cyclopentene				
21.24	554.64	methacrolein				
21.35	556.85	4-methyl-1-pentene				
21.62	562.30	cyclopentane	p			
21.78	565.32	2,3-dimethylbutane	p			

Table 7-1

DRI Laboratory Calibration Species

Retention	Retention		PAMS
Time	Index	Name	Compound
21.85	566.90	MTBE	
22.02	569.96	2-methylpentane	p
22.16	572.98	butanal	
22.41	578.02	butanone	
22.68	583.47	3-methylpentane	p
22.92	588.31	2-methyl-1-pentene	p
22.99	589.31	l-hexene	
23.18	593.95	C6 Olefin	
23.51	600.00	n-hexane	p
23.57	601.34	chloroform	
23.63	602.68	t-3-hexene	
23.72	604.70	c-3-hexene	
23.84	607.38	t-2-hexene	
23.97	610.29	2-methyl-2-pentene	
24.15	614.32	c-2-hexene	
22.40	620.58	3-methyl-2-pentene	
22.59	624.83	2,2-dimethylpentane	
24.69	627.07	methylcyclopentane	P
24.89	631.32	2,4-dimethylpentane	р
25.09	635.35	mechloroform	
25.35	641.61	2,2,3-trimethylbutane	
25.61	647.43	1-methylcyclopentene	
25.77	651.01	benzene	p
25.99	655.93	3,3-dimethylpentane	
26.18	660.85	cyclohexane	p
26.37	665.10	4-methylhexene	
26.54	668.46	2-methylhexane	p
26.63	670.69	2,3-dimethylpentane	р
26.78	674.05	cyclohexene	
26.92	677.18	3-methylhexane	p
27.02	680.31	C7 Olefin	
27.20	684.34	1,3-dimethylcyclopentane	
27.35	687.02	3-ethylpentane	
27.51	690.60	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	p
27.70	694.85	C7 Olefin	
27.83	697.76	t-3-heptene	_
27.94	700.00	n-heptane	p
28.08	704.46	C8 Olefin	
28.18	706.93	C8 Olefin	
28.31	709.90	C8 Olefin	
28.43	712.13	2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	
28.89	724.75	methylcyclohexane	p

Table 7-1

DRI Laboratory Calibration Species

Retention Time	Retention Index	Name	PAMS Compound
29.06	728.96	C8 Paraffin	
29.24	733.42	2,5-dimethylhexane	
29.34	735.89	2,4-dimethylhexane	
29.69	743.81	C8 Paraffin	
30.08	753.47	2,3,4-trimethylpentane	p
30.28	758.66	toluene	p
30.45	762.87	2,3-dimethylhexane	
30.65	767.33	2-methylheptane	p
30.73	769.31	4-methylheptane	
30.86	772.52	C8 Paraffin	
30.96	775.25	3-methylheptane	p
31.08	778.22	hexanai	
31.31	783.91	2,2,5-trimethylhexane	
31.40	786.14	1-octene	
31.51	788.86	1,1-dimethylcyclohexane	
31.96	800.00	n-octane	p
32.67	819.29	2,3,5-trimethylhexane	
32.80	822.83	2,4-dimethylheptane	
32.90	825.54	4,4-dimethylheptane	
33.13	831.79	2,6-dimethylheptane	
33.38	838.59	2,5-dimethylheptane	
33.58	844.29	3,3-dimethylheptane	
33.68	847.01	C9 Olefin	
32.87	852.17	C9 Olefin	
33.98	855.16	ethylbenzene	p
34.15	859.78	C9 Olefin	
34.28	863.59	m&p-xylene	p
34.45	868.38	2-methyloctane	
34.66	873.91	3-methyloctane	
34.84	878.80	C9 Paraffin	
34.95	881.79	styrene	p
35.15	887.23	o-xylene	p
35.40	894.02	1-nonene	
35.52	897.28	C9 Paraffin	
35.61	900.00	n-nonane	p
35.73	903.55	C9 Paraffin	
35.89	908.28	C9 Olefin	
36.39	914.79	C9 Paraffin	
36.56	926.04	isopropylbenzene	p
36.84	928.11	isopropylcyclohexane	
36.67	932.83	alpha-pinene	
36.86	937.28	benzaldehyde	

Table 7-1

DRI Laboratory Calibration Species

Retention	Retention		PAMS
Time	Index	Name	Compound
36.98	940.83	2,6-dimethyloctane	
37.08	943.79	C10 Olefin	
37.18	946.75	3,6-dimethyloctane	
37.31	950.59	n-propylbenzene	p
37.54	957.40	m-ethyltoluene	p
37.62	959.76	p-ethyltoluene	p
37.79	964.79	1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	p
37.92	968.64	C10 Paraffin	
38.08	973.27	beta-pinene	
38.19	976.63	o-ethyltoluene	p
38.41	983.14	C10 Paraffin	
38.68	991.42	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	<b>p</b> .
38.97	1000.00	n-decane	p
39.08	1003.51	C10 Aromatic	
39.30	1010.54	isobutylbenzene	
39.53	1017.89	C10 Olefin	•
39.66	1022.04	1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	p
39.78	1025.88	C10 Paraffin	
39.94	1030.99	indan	
40.12	1036.74	indene	
40.32	1043.13	m-diethylbenzene	p
40.42	1046.33	C10 Aromatic	
40.50	1048.88	p-diethylbenzene	p
40.68	1054.63	n-butylbenzene	
40.88	1061.02	o-diethylbenzene	p
41.08	1067.41	C10 Aromatic	
41.34	1075.72	1,3-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	
41.42	1078.27	C10 Aromatic	
41.60	1084.03	isopropyltoluene	
42.07	1100.00	n-undecane	p
42.27	1106.90	C10 Aromatic	
42.50	1114.83	C11 Paraffin	
42.62	1118.97	1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene	
43.13	1122.76	1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene	
43.38	1131.38	C11 Paraffin	
43.75	1144.14	2-methylindan	
44.15	1157.93	1-methylindan	
44.27	1162.07	C11 Aromatic	
44.36	1179.66	C11 Aromatic	
44.72	1192.07	naphthalene	
44.95	1200.00	n-dodecane	

during the sampling period. Prior to sample collection, the canisters are evacuated to less than 0.1 torr vacuum, and placed in a 125 °C oven for three hours. A fraction of the cleaned canisters were filled with zero air and analyzed by TO-12. The batch acceptance criteria for the canisters is less than 0.2 ppbC per hydrocarbon peak.

The C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub> hydrocarbons are analyzed by BRC using a Hewlett Packard 5790 gas chromatograph with an FID detector. Sample aliquots are loaded onto a glass bead freezeout loop immersed in liquid oxygen. The components are desorbed from the cryofocus loop, and separated using a packed column of phenylisocyanate/Porasil C at 45 °C. The C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>10</sub> hydrocarbons are analyzed by OGI using a Hewlett Packard 5890A gas chromatograph with FID detector. Sample aliquots of 500 ml are loaded onto a 1/8 inch by 6 inch glass bead freezeout loop immersed in liquid oxygen. The components are desorbed with 90 °C hot water onto a capillary cryofocus loop at the head of the column at -60 °C. They are then desorbed from the cryofocus loop, and separated using a 60-meter 0.25-micron J&W Scientific DB-1 capillary column with a 1.0-micron coating. The column is programmed from -60 °C to 200 °C at 4 °C/min. The chromatograms are integrated using the HP ChemStation computer data station and stored onto a hard disk. Data from the integrator are entered into a spreadsheet to generate the final report. The detection limits for this method are 0.1 ppbC for the hydrocarbon target compounds and the precision of the analysis at 5 ppbC is 10 percent.

The calibration by BRC is in terms of mass (area response/ng) of neohexane, which is used as an internal standard in each sample. The neohexane is traced to NIST benzene SRM (0.254 ppmv). For comparative purposes, OGI converts their hydrocarbon concentrations from µg/m³ units to ppbC. A problem exists in selecting the most suitable conversion factor(s). The factors that convert mixing ratios in ppbC to mass concentration in µg/m³ will vary among hydrocarbons because the molecular weight/number of carbon atoms ratios are not constant for all hydrocarbons. At 1 atm pressure and 25 °C, the (µg/m³) to ppbC conversion factor for methane is 1.5 and 1.88 for benzene. The conversion factor for propane (1.67) is selected OGI. If conversion factors specific to each hydrocarbon are employed instead, the corresponding concentrations will be up to 5 percent higher for alkane, 5 to 10 percent higher for alkenes and cycloalkane, and 10 to 15 percent higher for aromatic hydrocarbons.

BRC recently participated in a hydrocarbon audit and laboratory comparison as part of the NARSTO-Northeast Study. The other participants were the State University of New York at Albany (SUNYA), EPA Region I, and eight of the Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station (PAMS) networks in the northeastern U.S. (Maine, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maryland, City of Philadelphia, New Jersey, New York, and Virginia). The Desert Research Institute (DRI), which conducted measurements in roadway tunnels in New York and Boston during the summer of 1995 also participated in the performance audits. The results were evaluated and reported by Fujita et al. (1996). The hydrocarbon measurement comparison consisted of two synthetic samples used by the Environmental Protection Agency in the Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station (PAMS) program, and two ambient samples collected by EPA in the Research Triangle Park area. The samples were analyzed by the contracting laboratory and by the Environmental Protection Agency Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, which served as the referee laboratory. The main findings of the audit listed below.

- In general, the values reported by the audited laboratories were well correlated with the values obtained by EPA. Eight of the twelve, including both BRC and DRI, reported values that were within 10 percent of EPA for all four audit samples. Mean values greater than 1.0 ppbC with Laboratory/EPA ratio greater than 0.7 and less than 1.3 were used for the calibration checks in order to minimize the effect of inconsistent identifications on the correlations.
- Because calibration differences are generally within 10 percent, the outliers (i.e., data pairs with mean concentrations greater than 1.0 ppbC with Laboratory/EPA ratio less than 0.7 and greater than 1.3) are likely due to differences in peak identification between the audited laboratory and EPA. The ratios of outliers to number of compounds in the sample with concentrations greater than 1 ppbC indicate the degree of inconsistency in peak identification. The average of the ratios of outliers for the four audit samples are less than 10 percent for four of the groups (including DRI), 10 to 20 percent for five of the groups (including BRC), and 20 to 30 percent for three of the groups.
- The sum of the PAMS target compounds and total NMHC in the PAMS region of the chromatogram reported by the audited laboratories were generally within 10 percent of the corresponding EPA values.
- Examination of the other subtotals reported by EPA provided an indication of the relative importance of organic compounds that are not PAMS target compounds. For the ambient samples used in the audit, which reflect mostly motor vehicle emissions, sums of identified compounds that are not PAMS target compounds are 10 to 25 percent of the total NMHC (up to n-undecane). Semi-volatile hydrocarbons beyond n-undecane that can be measured from canisters are equivalent to 4-9 percent of the total NMHC up to undecane. Concentrations of oxygenated compounds, mainly methanol, were variable and were probably artifacts that were introduced when the samples were diluted by EPA. Oxygenated compounds typically represent a larger fraction of total NMOC in receptor area.
- Without exception, all of the species in the synthetic mixtures, once in the transfer canisters, were stable (within 5 percent of the original values) throughout the duration of the comparison study (up to three months). Initial and repeat values for most species were also within 5 to 10 percent of the NIST-traceable values. These results provide confirmation of the accuracy of EPA's analyses. Several unstable and higher-molecular weight species showed decreases from their reference values. Acetylene and 2-methyl-1-pentene values were less than 50 percent of the reference value. Styrene, n-propylbenzene, m- and p-diethylbenzene were less than 80 percent, and p- and oethyltoluene and n-undecane were less than 90 percent of the reference values. However, even these species remained stable for up to three months once the dry synthetic mixtures were transferred to a humidified canister.

# 7.4.2 Sampling and Analysis Methods for Carbonyl Compounds (AtmAA)

The technique used by AtmAA to measure ambient carbonyl compounds is an established procedure using  $C_{18}$  (octadecylsilane-bonded silica) Sep-Pak cartridges (Waters Associates, Milford, MA) which have been impregnated with purified acidified 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine

(DNPH). When ambient air is drawn through the cartridge, carbonyls in the air sample react with DNPH to form hydrazones, which are separated and quantified using HPLC in the laboratory (Fung and Grosjean 1981). This technique is the most commonly used method for measuring these compounds in ambient air. The variation of this methods used in the PAMS program replaces the C<sub>18</sub> substrate with DNPH-coated silica gel Sep-Pak cartridges and an upstream KI scrubber to remove ozone, which causes a negative interference with the method.

Cartridge samples are taken using an automated sampler with six channels to collect samples sequentially on an event basis. The sampler has a seventh channel for taking either a duplicate sample concurrently with one of the active channels, or a field blank. Since cartridges will naturally sorb carbonyl compounds from the surrounding air if left open, the sampler has been designed such that cartridges loaded into the sampler are isolated from the environment and from each other by check valves upstream and solenoid valves downstream. Memory protected programmable timers are used to control the operation of the pump and the solenoid valves according to the prescribed schedule. Duplicate samples can be collected on collocated cartridges by activating a parallel channel simultaneously. A mode selection switch converts the parallel channel for field blank collection as well. Sampling flow rates are controlled at ~0.9 liter/min using a differential flow controller with a typical precision of ±5% or less.

The samples are analyzed in batches of 20 to 40 cartridges. Each cartridge is eluted with 3 ml of acetonitrile into a graduated polyethylene tube with a resealable cap. The exact volume is calibrated gravimetrically. An aliquot of the eluent is transferred into a 2-ml septum vial and injected with an autosampler into a high performance liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu LC-6) for separation and quantitation of the hydrazones. The samples are analyzed for formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetone, propanal, benzaldehyde, o-tolualdehyde, and C<sub>4</sub> to C<sub>6</sub> aliphatic carbonyls group by carbon number.

Derivation of carbonyl compounds by 2,4-dinitrodinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) followed by liquid chromatography and u.v. detection is currently the most widely used method for measurement of ambient carbonyl compounds. Two variations of this methods will be used during SCOS97-NARSTO. AtmAA and DRI both collected ambient carbonyl compounds using C18 Sep-Pak cartridges impregnated with acidified DNPH reagent while local air pollution agencies in the study area used similarly impregnated silica Sep-Pak cartridges recommended by EPA Compendium Method TO-11, which is the standard method used nationally at Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS). The PAMS variant of the of the DNPH method includes an ozone scrubber upstream of the impregnated cartridge since silica cartridges were found to have significant negative ozone artifacts. Despite the acceptance and widespread use of the DNPH methods, questions still remain regarding interferences and sampling artifacts associated with the methods.

The questions regarding the accuracy of the DNPH method is mainly concerned with sampling. In order to address these questions, AtmAA participated in two measurement comparisons as part of an external quality assessment of carbonyl measurements for NARSTO-Northeast. Results of AtmAA's comparisons with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection at Agawam, MA, and with ManTech during the 1995 Nashville/Middle Tennessee Ozone Study are summarized by Fujita et al., (1996). The factors

affecting carbonyl measurements by the DNPH method were review from published articles and discussion with investigators active in this area in order to provide a perspective for interpreting the results from the two comparison studies. Relevant parameters include the substrate (type, DNPH loadings, blank levels, and variability), sampling conditions (ambient ozone concentrations, temperature, relative humidity, sample volume measurements, breakthrough, type of sampling line and ozone scrubber), sample storage, and handling (exposure to light and heat, type of storage and duration of storage), sample preparation and analysis.

- Reagent Loading and Blank Levels. Blank levels are related to the loading level of DNPH on cartridges in that higher loading levels almost always lead to higher blank levels. The formaldehyde average blank level for the Waters DNPH-coated ready-to-use silica Sep-Pak cartridges, which have a typical loading of 5 μmol of DNPH per cartridge (1 mg/cartridge) is 1.18 nmol/cartridge. The average formaldehyde blank level for C18 cartridges prepared by AtmAA, which have DNPH loadings of 10 μmol/cartridge (2 mg/cartridge), is 2.38 ± 0.51 nmol/cartridge. While the absolute blank level for the C18 cartridges is a factor of two higher than the silica gel cartridges, the relative standard deviation is in the same range as that found for commercial silica gel cartridges.
- Reagent pH. The rate and extent of reactions of DNPH with carbonyl compounds is pH dependent and vary with the compound.
- Relative Humidity. Decrease in collection efficiency of carbonyl compounds on C18 cartridges have been observed in dry air (RH = 3-7%) for ketones but not for aldehydes. The decrease in collection efficiency due to change in relative humidity should only be of concern in a limited number of applications since DNPH-coated cartridge measurements of carbonyls are seldom made in very dry air.
- Breakthrough. During the NARSTO-Northeast 1995 Ozone Study, 48 tandem C18 cartridges were collected to assess breakthrough in ambient samples. Except for acetone, all species up to C6 carbonyls average 95% efficiency or greater. Acetone collection efficiency averaged approximately 79%, with most of the lower values (45 to 65%) corresponding to the nighttime period when 6-hour samples were collected rather than 3 hour samples during the daylight hours. Average collection efficiency for acetone during daytime periods was over 90%.
- Ozone Artifacts A number of studies have reported that DNPH-coated silica gel cartridges show a dramatic negative interference by ozone in the determination of formaldehyde. Most of these studies have observed no interference for formaldehyde with C18 substrates. The negative artifact arises from the reaction of ozone with the DNPH derivative. In the case of C18 cartridges, the radicals generated by the ozone attack can be scavenged by the C18 phase, thus limiting further attack on DNPH or hydrazone. With the C18 cartridge, a positive ozone interference was detected with the formation of a peak which coelutes with the HCHO hydrazone. Several chromatographic conditions were used without success to separate the coeluting peak, which suggests the possibility that the artifact is the HCHO hydrazone itself. The magnitude of the interference is approximately 1.7% of the number of moles of ozone that pass through the cartridge. The presence of ozone in the system does not lead to formation of peaks which

are coincident to the hydrazones for the acetaldehyde or propionaldehyde. Artifacts reported have been on the cartridges prepared by individual researchers, and the cartridge composition were not addressed in each case. The artifacts observed may not have been attributed solely to the substrate. Multiple factors, such as, among others, reagent formulation, loading, and acidity of the DNPH, are important in determining the extent of the artifacts that may occur. An experiment in which atmospheric samples were collected by collocated C18 and silica gel cartridges that were prepared by AtmAA at the same time under identical conditions gave comparable formaldehyde levels which also compared well to the TDLAS measurements. These results suggest that the type of substrate (C18 versus silica gel) is less critical than how the cartridge is prepared.

- Comparison of the DNPH Method with Spectroscopic Measurements Previous studies in California prior to and during the Southern California Air Quality Study (SCAQS) indicated that formaldehyde concentrations determined using C18 DNPH cartridges similar to those used in NARSTO-Northeast and planned for SCOS97-NARSTO compare well to those from continuous instruments such as long-path FTIR, DOAS, and TDLAS. Measurements of formaldehyde by C18 DNPH cartridges during SCAQS generally tracked ozone concentrations and were in reasonably good agreement with TDLAS measurements. In contrast, SCAQS measurements of HCHO by silica gel DNPH cartridges were substantially lower in comparison to the TDLAS during the middle of the day, when ozone reached maximum concentrations. Similar results were also obtained during the 1993 Los Angeles Atmospheric Free Radical Experiment and in the NCAR/SOS Formaldehyde Intercomparison Study in Boulder.
- Ozone Removal For relative humidity less than approximately 5%, packed-KI scrubbers have extremely low capacity for ozone removal and breakthrough occurs within a few minutes at a flow of 1 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Scrubbing efficiency increases substantially even at low levels of relative humidies (ca. 10%). In contrast, KI-coated copper tube denuders show negligible breakthrough even under dry conditions. At moderate to high RH, the ozone denuders have high capacity for ozone removal. Results show an efficiency of at least 24,000 ppbv-h for these devices. For an average ozone concentration (day and night) of 60 ppbv the devices are expected to be effective for at least 16 days when used at a flow rate of 1 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Carbonyl compounds are generally quite soluble in water and KI is a highly hygroscopic chemical. In test conducted at RH of 75%, there is no evidence of formaldehyde retention on KI scrubbers over typical sampling times. Other carbonyls have not been tested, and it is possible that carbonyl compounds with carbon number higher than C4 might be retained by the scrubber or denuder in some cases. Method TO-11 specifies heating the KI denuders to approximately 50 °C to avoid formaldehyde loss.
- Sample Handling and Storage The amount of exposure is reduced significantly by using a protective closure compared to an open cartridge. For formaldehyde, a polyethylene cap provides about ten times more protection than PVC caps and about twice as much protection as plugs. Screw-capped vials offer additional protection. Hydrazones of stable carbonyls, such as formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acetone maintain their integrity on silica gel and C<sub>18</sub> cartridges for over a month under refrigerated storage. However, the olefinic aldehydes such as acrolein and crotonaldehyde degrade partially on the

- cartridges, either during sampling or storage, and form unknown products. Exposure to sunlight causes significant production of carbonyls which can be eliminated by wrapping the cartridges in aluminum foil during sampling and storage.
- Lower Quantifiable Limits and Measurement Precision Factors that may cause a bias in this measurement, such as breakthrough, blank variability, hydrazone product stability were evaluated and conducted as part of the QA/QC activities in NARSTO-Northeast. Based on field blanks and their variability, lower quantifiable limits (LQL) for 3-hour samples were approximately 0.4 ppbv or less for all but the >C6 carbonyls, which was estimated at 1 ppbv. The LQLs for 6-hour samples are half those values. Analytical precisions derived from repeat analysis range from 0.014 μg for propanal to 0.16 μg for >C6 carbonyls, corresponding to a coefficient of variation of 3 to 4% for the major components such as formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and acetone. There were 26 pairs of collocated samples, which provided an estimation of the carbonyl measurement precision. Most species had a CV of 4 to 8%, except propanal (10.6%), C5 carbonyls (9%), and >C6 carbonyls (15.0%).

In summary, there are few measurement techniques which can be used to evaluate the accuracy of the carbonyl species concentrations made by the DNPH method. Previous studies in California prior to and during the Southern California Air Quality Study (SCAQS) indicated that formaldehyde concentrations determined using C<sub>18</sub> DNPH cartridges similar to those used in NARSTO-Northeast compare well to those from continuous instruments such as long-path FTIR, DOAS, and TDLAS. There was a small negative bias of 0.7 ppb, corresponding to -6.9% at the mean formaldehyde concentration (10.2 ppb) observed during the study (Fung and Wright 1990, Lawson et al 1990). The average peak ozone concentration for the ten day study was approximately 195 ppb (Lawson et al 1990). Measurements of HCHO by C<sub>18</sub> DNPH cartridges during SCAQS generally tracked ozone concentrations and were in reasonably good agreement with TDLAS measurements. Also, the HCHO data showed good agreements between the C<sub>18</sub> DNPH cartridge and the TDLAS in the 1993 Los Angeles Atmospheric Free Radical Experiment (Mackay, 1994) and in the NCAR/SOS Formaldehyde Intercomparison Study (Calvert et al., 1996). In contrast, SCAOS measurements of HCHO by silica gel DNPH cartridges were substantially lower in comparison to the TDLAS during the middle of the day, when ozone reached maximum concentrations. Arnts and Tejada (1989) reported a significant ozone interference associated with EPA Method TO-11 using DNPH-coated silica gel cartridges. They observed a significant reduction in the level of formaldehyde measured in the presence of ozone. In the same study, they also evaluated cartridges prepared with the C<sub>18</sub> substrate, and observed no interference for formaldehyde from ozone at the 120 ppb level used in the experiment. Arnts and Tejada concluded that the ozonolysis of formaldehyde hydrazone is apparently substrate dependent (silica gel vs C18). These results were subsequently reproduced by Mantech (Kleindienst, 1994).

Positive ozone artifacts have also been reported (Rodler and Birks, 1994) on formation of HCHO, methyl vinyl ketone, and methacrolein from isoprene, and several higher carbonyls from the C<sub>18</sub> substrate. The C<sub>18</sub> artifact observed by Rodler and Birks (1994) is contrary to the field

data collected from the studies cited above in which daily ozone levels of 260 to 280 ppb were observed. An experiment in which atmospheric samples were collected by collocated C<sub>18</sub> and silica gel cartridges that were prepared at the same time under identical conditions gave comparable formaldehyde levels which also compared well to the TDLAS measurements (Fung, 1993). These results suggest that the type of substrate (C<sub>18</sub> versus silica gel) is less critical than how the cartridge is prepared. Artifacts reported have been on the cartridges prepared by individual researchers, and the cartridge composition were not addressed in each case. The artifacts observed may not have been attributed solely to the substrate. Multiple factors, such as, among others, reagent formulation, loading, and acidity of the DNPH, are important in determining the extent of the artifacts that may occur.

With the exception of nighttime acetone values, it is likely that nominal accuracy of the DNPH carbonyl data is better than 20 percent for measured carbonyl species with concentrations above 2 ppb.

#### 7.4.3 Airborne Sampling of Hydrocarbons and Carbonyl Compounds

Hydrocarbon sampling onboard aircraft is essentially the same as on the surface. However, because of the longer sampling times required for the DNPH-cartridge method, ambient samples are first collected in Tedlar bags. The air samples are transferred from the bags to cartridges soon after the flight has ended. Other than the transfer bag, the sampling procedures are identical to the collection of surface samples.

# 7.4.4 Carbon Monoxide, Carbon Dioxide and Methane

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) are measured from the canister samples using GC/FID. Since the FID does not respond to CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, these species are converted to methane by a methanator, positioned after the GC column but ahead of the FID. The methanator comprises a firebrick powder impregnated with nickel catalyst, through which a stream of hydrogen gas flows continuously at ~550 °C. For compound separation, a 20-foot x 1/8-inch inner-diameter (i.d.) column packed with a 60/80 mesh of Carboxen 1000 (Supelco) is used. This column provides sufficient separation between CH<sub>4</sub> and CO, without retaining CO<sub>2</sub>. Five ml samples are injected using a constant-volume loop. The detection limit for both CO and CH<sub>4</sub> is ~20 ppbv, whereas for CO<sub>2</sub> it is ~3 ppmv. The precision is generally better than 10%.

#### 7.4.5 Oxygenated Organic Compounds

Methyl t-butyl ether is quantified from canister samples, using the method of analysis for  $C_3$ - $C_{11}$  hydrocarbons. The individual response factor is determined for MTBE and its concentration is reported in ppbv. It is particularly important to obtain good separation of the MTBE peak, which normally elutes just before 2-methylpentane and after 2,3-dimethylbutane.

#### 7.4.6 Halogenated Hydrocarbons

Halogenated compounds can be also quantified from canister samples, with the same column as used for  $C_3$ - $C_{11}$  hydrocarbons. However, electron capture detection is used rather than flame ionization due to the weaker and variable response of halogenated compounds on the FID.

This method will be used by DGA to measure peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN) as well as perchloroethylene and methylchloroform at Azusa and Simi Valley. A minimum of three canister samples from Azusa should also be analyzed by the Desert Research Institute with their semi-continuous halocarbon analyzer and compared to values reported by DGA.

# 7.5 Systems Audits

The quality assessment of SCOS97-NARSTO VOC data included system audits of the two main VOC laboratories (AtmAA for carbonyl compounds and BRC for hydrocarbons). These audits include review of operational and quality control procedures to assess whether they are adequate to assure valid data which meet the specified levels of accuracy and precision. An on-site systems audit of AtmAA was conducted in 1995 by Dr. Eric Fujita. The results of this audit will be summarized in lieu of an audit for SCOS97-NARSTO.

An on-site systems audit of BRC was not performed by DRI during the 1995-96 NARSTO-Northeast study. However, two separate systems audits were performed by DRI staff within the last ten years at Dr. Rasmussen's laboratory at Oregon Graduate Institute (OGI). The first audit in 1987 during the Southern California Air Quality Study, and the second audit in 1990 during the San Joaquin Valley Air Quality Study. While the standard operating procedure for hydrocarbon analysis at BRC has not changed during this time, seven years is sufficient time to warrant a new systems audit. An on-site systems audit will be conducted at BRC by Dr. Zielinska of the DRI in May, 1997.

#### 7.6 Performance Audits

#### 7.6.1 Hydrocarbons

The hydrocarbon performance audits will consist of two ambient samples. A draft protocol is included as Appendix C. Participating laboratories include ARB, EPA, BRC, DRI, SDAPCD, SCAQMD, VCAPCD, and BAAQMD. Each participating laboratory will supply to ARB, Monitoring and Laboratory Division two cleaned, evacuated 6-liter canisters by April 25, 1997. EPA, ARB and DRI will supply two additional canisters (four in all). ARB will fill the two sets of canisters to 20-25 psi with ambient air from the Los Angeles area using a manifold sampling system supplied by the Desert Research Institute. One set of canisters will be collected in the morning (after 6:00 a.m. and before 9:00 a.m., PDT) in an area heavily influenced by mobile source emission. The other set will be collected in the afternoon (after 1:00 p.m. and before 4:00 p.m., PDT) in a downwind area with maximum ozone levels. Duplicate samples will be collected for EPA, ARB and DRI (total of eleven simultaneous canister samples at each site). ARB will send the two (or four) ambient audit samples to each participating laboratory by May 6, 1997. Each laboratory will analyze the audit samples within five working days after receiving the audit canisters. EPA, ARB and DRI will reanalyze their samples after one and two months to monitor the stability of the audit samples. Analytical results will be compiled by the California Air Resources Board, Research Division and results will be summarized by DRI.

# 7.6.2 Carbonyl Compounds

The carbonyl performance audit will consist of sampling under field conditions with addition of a standard mixture of carbonyls from a 6-liter stainless steel canister to an ambient sample. A draft protocol is included in Appendix E. The can will also contain benzene for quality control purposes. The main supply of the standard mixture will be prepared at the Desert Research Institute in a 33-liter tank. The standard mixture in a 6-liter canister and a dilution apparatus will be supplied by the Desert Research Institute, along with operating instructions. The standard audit protocol will consist of a 3-hour ambient sample using two DNPH cartridges in series (same as a breakthrough experiment) with addition of the standard mixture, with appropriate dilution, between the two cartridges. The front cartridge serves to scrub ambient carbonyl compounds and ozone. Each group will collect two samples and pass the 6-liter canister and gas dilution system on to the next group. The 6-liter canisters will hold sufficient sample for two groups. The Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division will analyze the contents of the canister by DNPH/HPLC prior to shipment and upon its return. The contents of the main tank will be periodically analyzed by both DNPH/HPLC and GC/FID (for higher MW carbonyls). These audits will be performed in June 1997.

A similar audit was conducted for the 1996 NARSTO-Northeast Study. The results of the audit are currently under review by the Dr. Eric Fujita of the DRI. Preliminary results indicate that formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and acetone are stable in both the main tank and in the 6-liter transfer canisters. Two higher aldehyde, pentanal and benzaldehyde, while stable in the 33-liter canister under high pressure (60-80 psi), show significant loss in the 6-liter transfer canisters (10-25 psi).

# 7.6.3 Airborne Sampling of Carbonyl Compounds

The performance audit for the aircraft sampling of carbonyl compounds will be similar to surface-based measurements. The main procedural difference is that the Tedlar bag will be filled with zero-air with addition of the standard carbonyl mixture.

#### 7.7 Corrective Action

The systems and performance audits will be conducted prior to the field study in May and should be available in June, 1997. This schedule is probably optimistic based on experiences of past audit program of this type. Firm commitments from participating laboratories and sponsoring organizations will be required to minimize delays in disseminating the results of the audits that may lead to corrective actions.

The QA team will provide the results of the VOC performance audits and laboratory comparisons to participants as soon as they are available. It will be the obligation of each laboratory to examine the results, diagnose the cause for disagreements of their data with those reported by the majority of other laboratories, and take the necessary corrective actions.

# 7.8 Data Acquisition and Processing

Gas chromatographs and HPLC systems are connected to data acquisition systems that perform data acquisition, peak integration and identification, hardcopy output, post-run calculations, calibrations, and user interfacing. Acquired data are automatically stored on a hard disk. Typically the chromatographic information from the data acquisition system is post-processed to confirm peak identifications and to transfer the data to a spreadsheet or database format. In the case of carbonyl compounds, blank values are subtracted and blank uncertainties are combined with volume and analytical uncertainties.

# 7.9 Data Validation

The data validation process consists of procedures that identify deviations from measurement assumptions and procedures. Three levels of validation are applied which will result in the assignment to each measurement of one of the following ratings: 1) valid; 2) valid but suspect; or 3) invalid. Level 1 data validation normally takes place in the field or in the laboratory and consists of: 1) flagging samples when significant deviations from measurement assumptions have occurred; 2) verifying computer file entries against data sheets; 3) eliminating values for measurements which are known to be invalid because of instrument malfunctions; 4) adjustment of measurement values for quantifiable calibration or interference biases; 5) determining measurement precision by replicate analyses and by collection of field blanks and collocated samples.

Level 2 validation takes place after the data from various measurement methods have been assembled in a master database. Level 2 applies consistency tests based on known physical relationships between variables to the assembled data. These tests fall into three categories: detection of extreme values; consistency between redundant measurements and co-pollutants; and examination of temporal and spatial variations. The following are examples of level 2 validations for VOC measurements.

# Detection of Extreme Values

- Derive summary statistics (mean, maximum, standard deviation) for all species and species groups, both for concentration and weight fractions. Sort the database by concentration and weight fraction of each species (including the less abundant species) and note any unusually high concentrations or fractions (any species) or unusually low concentrations or fractions of normally abundant species.
- Develop box-whisker plots of abundant species and species groups stratified by site, time of day.
- Stratify data by site and time of day and flag values above 5 ppbC for hydrocarbons and 2 ppbv for carbonyl compounds if the ratio of the absolute difference between sample and mean values to the standard deviation among values within the stratified group is greater than three (i.e., |value-mean|/sigma > 3). Examine patterns in occurrence of extreme points in

time relative to wind direction, mixing height or some other physical variables, and determine if the unusual value is due to measurement error or a valid result of an environmental cause.

# **Consistency Checks**

- Compare total nonmethane hydrocarbons derived from the sum of species measured by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (TO-14 Method) and preconcentration direct Injection flame ionization detection (PDFID), TO-12 Method.
- Prepare scatter plots of NMOC and NMHC versus CO, benzene, toluene, and other abundant species; benzene versus toluene; propane versus n-butane and note outliers. For each site and sampling period, examine distributions of the following ratios: benzene/CO, methane/NMOG, olefins/NMOG, aromatics/NMOG, carbonyls/NMOG, CO/NO<sub>X</sub> and NMOG/NO<sub>X</sub> ratios. We will apply appropriate range limits (e.g., differences of no greater than three standard deviation of all values for each site and period), and identify outliers).

# Temporal and Spatial Variability

- Prepare time-series plots of abundant species and compare sample-to-sample, site-to-site, date-to-date, and sampling period-to-sampling period variations.
- Plot monthly mean diurnal variations by site and examine consistency of diurnal patterns with respect to patterns in emissions, atmospheric chemistry, and seasonal meteorological changes.

Level 3 validation is part of the subsequent data interpretation process. Receptor modeling, factor and other statistical analyses, and photochemical air quality simulation models are several examples. Unusual values are identified during the data interpretation process as: 1) extreme values; 2) values which would otherwise normally track the values of the other variables in a time series; and 3) values for observables which would normally follow a qualitatively predictable spatial or temporal pattern.

#### 7.10 Data Archival

Data from the Air Pollution Control Districts are archived in AIRS format and are submitted to ARB and EPA. Data from the supplemental measurements will be archived in accordance with the format specified by the SCOS97-NARSTO Data Manager.

# 8. REFERENCES

- Alvarez II, R. J. (1996). Personal Communication.
- Alvarez II, R. J., S. D. Mayor, C. Senff, R. M. Hardesty, C. L. Frush, R. M. Banta, and W. L. Eberhard (1996). "UV-DIAL Ozone Measurements during the 1995 Southern Oxidant Study." In <u>Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Laser Radar Conference</u>, (Berlin, Germany), to be published.
- Ancellet, G., A. Papayannis, J. Pelon, and G. Mégie (1989). "DIAL Tropospheric Ozone Measurement Using a Nd:YAG Laser and the Raman Shifting Technique." J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol. 6, 832-839.
- Ångström, A. (1929). "On the Atmospheric Transmission of Sun Radiation and on Dust in the Air." Geogr. Ann. 11, 156-166.
- ARB (1990). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix J Performance Audit Procedures for Thru-the-Probe Toxic Audits." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, May, 1990.
- ARB (1993a). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.1 Performance Audit Procedures for Percent Relative Humidity and Dew Point Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, June, 1993.
- ARB (1993b). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.2 Performance Audit Procedures for Wind Speed Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, June, 1993.
- ARB (1994a). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix C Performance Audit Procedures for Ozone Analyzers using a Portable Ozone Transfer Standard." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, April, 1994.
- ARB (1994b). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.5 Performance Audit Procedures for Barometric Pressure Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, November, 1994.
- ARB (1995a). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.6 Performance Audit Procedures for Solar Radiation Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, May, 1995.
- ARB (1995b). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix L Performance Audit Procedures for Xontech 920 Toxic Air Sampler." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, June, 1995.
- ARB (1995c). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix E Performance Audit Procedures for Thru-the-Probe Criteria Audits." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, November, 1995.
- ARB (1995d). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.4 Performance Audit Procedures for Ambient Temperature Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, December, 1995.

- ARB (1996a). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix O Performance Audit Procedures for Global Positioning System." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, July, 1996.
- ARB (1996b). "Audit Procedures Manual, Volume 5: Appendix S.3 -Performance Audit Procedures for Wind Direction Sensors." California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Sacramento, CA, August, 1996.
- AVES (1996). "Audit of radar wind profiler network and selected surface meteorological sites for the 1997 Southern California Ozone Study."" Technical Proposal from Aerovironment Environmental Services, Inc., Monrovia, CA to California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA in response to RFP #96-14, November 12, 1996.
- Barnes, R. A., A. R. Bandy, and A. L. Torres (1985). "Electrochemical Concentration Cell Ozonesonde Accuracy and Precision." J. Geophys. Res. 90, 7881-7887.
- Beekmann, M., G. Ancellet, G. Mégie, H. G. J. Smit, and D. Kley (1994). "Intercomparison Campaign of Vertical Ozone Profiles Including Electrochemical Sondes of ECC and Brewer-Mast Type and a Ground Based UV-Differential Absorption Lidar." *J. Atmos. Chem.* 19, 259-288.
- Blumenthal, D.L., W.H. White and T.B. Smith (1978). "Anatomy of a Los Angeles Smog Episode: Pollutant Transport in the Daytime Sea Breeze Regime." *Atmos. Environ.*, 12, 893.
- Bösenberg, J., G. Ancellet, A. Apituley, H. Bergwerff, G. v. Cossart, H. Edner, J. Fiedler, B. Galle, C. de Jonge, J. Mellqvist, V. Mitev, T. Schaberl, G. Sonnemann, J. Spakman, D. Swaart, and E. Wallinder (1993). "Tropospheric Ozone Lidar Intercomparison Experiment, TROLIX '91: Field Phase Report." Max-Planck Institut für Meteorologie., 102.
- Bristow, M. P., D. H. Bundy, and A. G. Wright (1995). "Signal Linearity, Gain Stability, and Gating in Photomultipliers: Application to Differential Absorption Lidars." *Appl. Opt.* 34, 4437-4452.
- Browell, E. V. (1982). "Lidar Measurements of Tropospheric Gases." Opt. Eng. 21, 128-132.
- Browell, E. V., S. Ismail, and S. T. Shipley (1985). "Ultraviolet DIAL Measurements of O<sub>3</sub> Profiles in Regions of Spatially Inhomogeneous Aerosols." Appl. Opt. 24, 2827-2836.
- Bundy, D. H. (1992). Personal Communication.
- Cass, G.R. and F.H. Shair (1984). "Sulfate Accumulation in a Sea Breeze/Land Breeze Circulation System." J. Geophys. Res., 89, 1429.
- Charlson, R. J., D. S. Covert, Y. Tokiwa, and P. K. Mueller (1972). "Multiwavelength Nephelometer Measurements in Los Angeles Smog Aerosol: III: Comparison to Light Extincion by NO<sub>2</sub>." *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **39**, 260-265.

- Davidson, J. A., C. A. Cantrell, A. H. McDaniel, R. E. Shetter, S. Madronich, and J. G. Calvert (1988). "Visible-Ultraviolet Absorption Cross Sections for NO<sub>2</sub> as a Function of Temperature." J. Geophys. Res. 93, 7105-7112.
- Douglas, S.G., R.C. Kessler, C.A. Emery and J.L. Burtt (1991). "Diagnostic Analysis of Wind Observations Collected During the Southern California Air Quality Study, Final Report." Prepared under Contract No. A832-133 for Research Division, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, by Systems Applications International, San Rafael, CA.
- Edinger, J.G. and R.A. Helvey (1961). "The San Fernando Convergency Zone." Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 42, 626.
- Federal Register (1993). "Ambient Air Quality Surveillance; Final Rule." 40 CFR Part 58, February 12, 1993.
- Fernald, F. G., B. M. Herman, and J. A. Reagan (1972). "Determination of Aerosol Height Distributions by Lidar." J. Appl. Meteor. 11, 482-489.
- Fung, K., and B. Wright (1990). "Measurement of Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde Using the DNPH Method During the Carbonaceous Species Comparison Study." *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 12, 44.
- Fung, K. (1993). "Carbonyl Measurements with DNPH Cartridges: Species Concentration, Ozone Interference and Blank Observations." Paper OT-21.1 presented at the International Specialty Conference on Regional Photochemical Measurement and Modeling Studies, San Diego, CA. November, 1993.
- Fujita, E. M., M. C. Green, R. E. Keislar, D. R. Koracin, H. Moosmuller, and J. G. Watson (1996). "1997 Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS97) Field Study Plan." Prepared under contract no. 93-326 for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA. Draft dated June 24, 1996.
- Fujita, E. M., Z. Lu, G. Harshfield, and B. Zielinska (1996). "NARSTO-Northeast: Hydrocarbon and Carbonyl Measurement Audits for the 1995 Field Study." Prepared under Agreement WO9108-14 for the Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA. Draft report dated November 19, 1996.
- Galle, B., A. Sunesson, and W. Wendt (1988). "NO<sub>2</sub>-Mapping Using Laser-Radar Techniques." *Atmos. Environ.* 22, 569-573.
- Gibson, F. W. (1994). "Variability in Atmospheric Light-Scattering Properties with Altitude." *Appl. Opt.* 33, 4919-4929.
- Grabbe, G. C., J. Bösenberg, H. Dier, U. Görsdorf, V. Mathias, G. Peters, T. Schaberl, and C. Senff (1996). "Intercomparison of Ozone Measurements between Lidar and ECC-Sondes." *Beitr. Phys. Atmosph.* **69**, 189-203.

- Grant, W. B., R. D. Hake, Jr., E. M. Liston, R. C. Robbins, and E. K. Proctor, Jr. (1974). "Calibrated Remote Measurement of NO<sub>2</sub> Using the Differential-Absorption Backscatter Technique." *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **24**, 550-552.
- Grant, W. B., R. H. Kagann, and W. A. McClenny (1992). "Optical Remote Measurement of Toxic Gases." J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. 42, 18-30.
- Green, M.C., R.C. Flocchini and L.O. Myrup (1992a). "The Relationship of the Extinction Coefficient Distribution to Wind Field Patterns in Southern California." *Atmos. Environ.*, 26A, 827.
- Harding, L. B. and W. A. Goddard, III (1978). "Mechanism of Gas-Phase and Liquid-Phase Ozonolysis." J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 7180-7188.
- Hayes, T.P., J.J.R. Kinney and N.J.M. Wheeler (1984). California Surface Wind Climatology, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA.
- Hilsenrath, E., A. W, A. Bass, W. Evans, R. Hagemeyer, R. A. Barnes, W. Komhyr, K. Mauersberger, J. Mentall, M. Proffitt, D. Robbins, S. Taylor, A. Torres, and E. Weinstock (1986). "Results from the Ballon Ozone Intercomparison Campaign (BOIC)." J. Geophys. Res. 91, 13137-13152.
- Hitschfeld, W. and J. Bordan (1954). "Errors Inherent in the Radar Measurement of Rainfall at Attenuating Wavelengths." J. Meteor. 11, 58-67.
- Keith, R.W. and B. Selik (1977). "California South Coast Air Basin Hourly Wind Flow Patterns." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, CA.
- Kempfer, U., W. Carnuth, R. Lotz, and T. Trickl (1994). "A Wide-Range Ultraviolet Lidar System for Tropospheric Ozone Measurements: Development and Application." *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **65**, 3145-3164.
- Kleindienst, T. E., E. E. Hudgens, D. F. Smith, F. F. McElroy, and J. J. Bufalini (1993). "Comparison of Chemiluminescence and Ultraviolet Ozone Monitor Responses in the Presence of Humidity and Photochemical Pollutants." J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. 43, 213-222.
- Kleindienst, T.E., E.W. Corse, F.T. Blanchard, and W.A. Lonneman (1994). "PerformanceMeasurements of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> Carbonyl Compounds Using DNPH-Coated Silica Gel and C<sub>18</sub> Cartridges." In In *Measurement of Toxic and Related Air Pollutants*, Report Number EPA/600/R-94/136, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Air & Waste Management Association, p 204.
- Klett, J. D. (1981). "Stable Analytical Inversion Solution for Processing Lidar Returns." Appl. Opt. 20, 211-220.
- Komhyr, W. D. (1969). "Electrochemical Concentration Cells for Gas Analysis." *Ann. Géophys.* **25**, 203-210.

- Kovalev, V. A. and J. L. McElroy (1994). "Differential Absorption Lidar Measurement of Vertical Ozone Profiles in the Troposphere that Contains Aerosol Layers with Strong Backscattering Gradients: A Simplified Version." Appl. Opt. 33, 8393-8401.
- Kovalev, V. A. and H. Moosmüller (1994). "Distortion of Particulate Extinction Profiles Measured by Lidar in a Two-Component Atmosphere." *Appl. Opt.* 33, 6499-6507.
- Langford, A. O. (1995). "Identification and Correction of Analog-to-Digital-Converter Nonlinearities and their Implications for Differential Absorption Lidar Measurements." *Appl. Opt.* **34**, 8330-8340.
- Lawson, D.R., H.W. Biermann, E.C. Tuazon, A.M. Winer, G.I. Mackay, H.I. Schiff, G.L. Kok, P.K. Dasgupta, K. Fung (1990). *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 12, 64.
- Lee, H. S., G. K. Schwemmer, C. L. Korb, M. Dombrowski, and C. Prasad (1990). "Gated Photomultiplier Respone Characterization for DIAL Measurements." *Appl. Opt.* 29, 3303-3315.
- MacKay G. (1994). Formaldehyde Measurements During the 1993 Los Angeles Atmospheric Free Radical Experiment." Final report prepared for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- Manatt, S. L. and A. L. Lane (1993). "A Compilation of the Absorption Cross-Sections of SO<sub>2</sub> from 106 to 403 nm." J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 50, 267-276.
- McElroy, J.L., E.L. Richardson, W.H. Hankins and M.J. Pearson (1982). "Airborne Downward-Looking LIDAR Measurements During the South Coast Air Basin/Southeast Desert Oxidant Transport Study." Data Dept. Env. Mon. Systems Lab., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, TS-AMD 82133.
- Measures, R. M. (1984). Laser Remote Sensing. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (New York).
- Meyer, C. P., C. M. Elsworth, and I. E. Gabally (1991). "Water Vapor Interference in the Measurement of Ozone in Ambient Air by Ultraviolet Absorption." *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 62, 223.
- Milton, M. J. T. (1987). "Corrections for Differential Scattering by Aerosols in the Measurement of Ozone by DIAL." National Physical Laboratory., QU78.
- Milton, M. J. T. and P. T. Woods (1987). "Pulse Averaging Methods for a Laser Remote Monitoring System Using Atmospheric Backscatter." Appl. Opt. 26, 2598-2603.
- Molina, L. T. and M. J. Molina (1986). "Absolute Absorption Cross Sections of Ozone in the 185- to 350-nm Wavelength Range." J. Geophys. Res. 91, 14501-14508.
- Moosmüller, H., D. Diebel, H. Bundy, M. P. Bristow, R. J. Alvarez, II, V. A. Kovalev, C. M. Edmonds, R. M. Turner, and J. L. McElroy (1992). "Ozone Measurements with the U.S. EPA UV-DIAL: Preliminary Results." In <u>Sixteenth International Laser Radar Conference</u>, M. P. McCormick (Ed.), *NASA Conference Publications* (Hampton, VA), 3158, 95-98.

- Moosmüller, H. (1994). "Analysis of UV-DIAL Data Acquired During the 1993 COAST Study."

  Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada System. Final Report to the Texas Natural Conservation Commission.
- Moosmüller, H., R. J. Alvarez, Jr., R. M. Jorgensen, C. M. Edmonds, D. H. Bundy, and J. L. McElroy (1994). "An Airborne UV-DIAL System for Ozone Measurements: Field Use and Verification." In Optical Sensing for Environmental Monitoring, , Air & Waste Management Association (Pittsburgh, PA), SP-89, 413-422.
- NOAA/ETL (1996). "Technical proposal: Management of data from the upper-air meteorological network for the 1997 Southern California Ozone Study". Prepared by NOAA/ Environmental Technology Laboratory, Boulder, CO for State of California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, November 19, 1996.
- Optech Systems Corporation, 701 Petrolia Road, Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3J 2N6.
- Papayannis, A., G. Ancellet, J. Pelon, and G. Mégie (1990). "Multiwavelength Lidar for Ozone Measurements in the Troposphere and the Lower Stratosphere." *Appl. Opt.* **29**, 467-476.
- Pelon, J. and G. Mégie (1982). "Ozone Monitoring in the Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere: Evaluation and Operation of a Ground-Based Lidar Station." J. Geophys. Res. 87, 4947-4955.
- Proffitt, M. H. (1983). "Fast-Response Dual-Beam UV-Absorption Ozone Photometer Suitable for Use on Stratospheric Balloons." Rev. Sci. Instrum. 54, 1719-1728.
- Rodler, D.R., and J.W. Birks (1994). "Evaluation of Isoprene Oxidation as an Interference in the Cartridge Sampling and Derivatization of Atmospheric Carbonyl Compounds." *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 28, 2211-2215.
- Rothe, K. W., U. Brinkmann, and H. Walther (1974a). "Application of Tunable Dye Lasers to Air Pollution Detection: Measurement of Atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations by Differential Absorption." Appl. Phys. 3, 115-119.
- Rothe, K. W., U. Brinkmann, and H. Walther (1974b). "Remote Measurement of NO<sub>2</sub> Emission from a Chemical Factory by the Differential Absorption Technique." *Appl. Phys.* 4, 181-182.
- Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (1990). "Air Quality and Meoeorological Monitoring Protocol for Santa Barbara, County, California." Santa Barbara County APCD, October, 1990.
- San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (1991). "Procedures for Calibration, Quality Assurance, Equipment Maintenance and Repair for the Ambient Air Monitoring Network." Monitoring and Technical Services, Revision 4, June, 1991.
- Schenkel, A. and B. Broder (1982). "Interference of Some Trace Gases with Ozone Measurements by the KI Method." *Atmos. Environ.* 16, 2187-2190.

- Smith, T.B., D.L. Blumenthal, J.R. Stinson and V.A. Mirabella (1972). "Climatological Wind Survey for Aerosol Characterization Program." Document MRI 72 FR-1000, Meteorology Research, Inc., Altadena, CA.
- Smith, T.B. and J.G. Edinger (1984). "Utilization of Remote Sensing Data in the Evaluation of Air Pollution Characteristics in the South Coast/Southeast Desert Air Basin." Contract No. ARB-R-84-236. California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA.
- Smith, T.B., W.D. Saunders and D.M. Takeuchi (1984). "Application of Climatological Analyses to Minimize Air Pollution Impacts in California." Prepared under Contract #AZ-119-32 for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, by Meteorological Research, Inc., Altadena, CA.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (1995). "Quality Assurance Plan for Air Monitoring." Applied Science and Technology Division, July, 1995.
- STI (1995). "Draft guidelines for the quality assurance and management of PAMS upper-air meteorological data", Prepared by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA for USEPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC, EPA Contract No. 68D30020, December 29, 1995.
- USEPA (1984). "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurements Systems, Volume I: Principles." EPA-600/9-76-005, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- USEPA (1986). "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurements Systems, Volume II: Ambient Air Specific Methods." EPA-600/4-77-027a, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- USEPA (1987). "Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)." EPA 450/4-87-007, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- USEPA (1989). "Quality assurance handbook for air pollution measurement systems. Volume IV- meteorological measurements". Report prepared by Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, EPA-450/4-90-007A.
- USEPA (1989a). "Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. Appendix A--Quality Assurance Requirements for State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS)." 40 CFR 58. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA (1989b). "Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. Appendix B--Quality Assurance Requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Air Monitoring." 40 CFR 58. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA (1989c). "Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. Appendix E--Probe Siting Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring." 40 CFR 58. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

- USEPA (1989d). "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems. Volume IV-- Meteorological Measurements." Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- USEPA (1995). "Quality assurance handbook for air pollution measurement systems. Volume IV- meteorological measurements". Report prepared by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, EPA/600-R94/038d, March 1995.
- USEPA (1995a). "Guidance for PAMS meteorological monitoring (Appendix N of PAMS implementation manual). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, EPA-454/B-93-051, June 1995.
- USEPA (1995b). "Quality assurance handbook for air pollution measurement systems. Volume IV- meteorological measurements". Report prepared by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, EPA/600-R94/038d, March 1995.
- Uthe, E. E., J. M. Livingston, and N. B. Nielsen (1992). "Airborne Lidar Mapping of Ozone Concentrations During the Lake Michigan Ozone Study." J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. 42, 1313-1318.
- Völger, P., J. Bösenberg, and I. Schult (1996). "Scattering Properties of Selected Model Aerosol Calculated at UV-Wavelengths: Implications for DIAL Measurements of Tropospheric Ozone." *Beitr. Phys. Atmosph.* **69**, 177-187.
- Zhao, Y., R. M. Hardesty, and M. J. Post (1992). "Multibeam Transmitter for Signal Dynamic Range Reduction in Incoherent Lidar Systems." *Appl. Opt.* 31, 7623-7632.
- Zhao, Y., R. M. Hardesty, and J. E. Gaynor (1994). "Demonstration of a New and Innovative Ozone Lidar's Capability to Measure Vertical Profiles of Ozone Concentration and Aerosol in the Lower Troposphere." National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Technology Laboratory, Atmospheric Lidar Division. Final Report to the California Air Resource Board, Research Division, ARB-R-92-328.

Appendix A Surface Air Quality Sites

Appendix A. Surface Air Quality Sites

	NMHC	+-	Э	ш	Е			<u>a</u>		:															-		_								ш	ш	9													m.	(a, m)
	CI14		ш					ы		_										ļ	Ĺ	-	L	L	-			ļ 		L					Ħ	L															<u> </u>
Post	THC		ш				1	n	.1	ы				Э	ш		ы	ш												ы				ш	ш	Э	Э			ы							i in	E E	<u>н</u>	- E	- in in
Variables Messured	2		ш	ωì						ш	ш											Э	ш	·		ш	w			:	ы	ш		ш	E		H	ш		ш				ы	ш	ы	н н	ы ш	ш	ш ш ш	ш ш шш
Vorigh	XON	ш	ш	ய	ப	ш	11	ш	-	ш	ш	ш	បា	ш	Е	ш	ы	m	ш			ıı	ш	ω	ш	ш	ш		ļ -	ш	,ш	ш		ш	ъ		ш	·ш		ш	ш	ш		ш	ш	m	ш	ш ш	п п п	m m mim	ш шшпп
	ON	+-	ш	ш	Э	ш	11	ш		ш	ш	ш	ш	Э	Е	ш	Э	EL)	ш	 	_	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш			ш	ш	ш	 	ы	ш		ì	ш		: : :	Э	<u>:</u> ш	į.	L)	2	r)	а Б	n in	n m	n n n	0 E E E
	03	╀	9	(7)	Ξ.	(1)	1	<u></u>	<u>.</u>	ய	ш	ш	ш	<u>.</u>	E	ш		(2)	ш	i es	m	133	3		ш	ш				ш	נט	E)	E	i m	ച	121	Э	ш		<u>.</u>	9	ш			(1)	шш	шшш	(2) (1) (1)	(a) (b) (c)	(a) (b) (b) (c) (d)	ю и и и и
-	Ц.		_			_	7	-	+	_	_	_	_	_				_	_		_			_		-	-		_	_	_		-				-  -	_	_	_	_	! <u> </u>	-								
Flav	=	145	333	-	425	853	376	180	2 :	99	71	444	137	105	91	40	80	6	0	0	0	9	1006	1250	498	607	876	1244	236	24	4	24	213	45	183	722	168	25	1384	137	381	275	21		469	469	469	469 -6 82	469 -6 82 82	469 -6 -82 82 440 725	469 -6 -6 82 82 440 725
	SS	2	23	28		45	2	22	53	4	3	7	28	21	39	28	4	44	01	43	77	56	S	46	-	17	•	27	55	44	40	21	15	46	22	56	57	47	56	23	17	3	· ••		28	28	3 50	33	3 3	28 50 3 9 9	28 50 3 3 55 20 20
Longitude	MM	47	2	28	12	œ ;	<b>57</b>	0 :	4 :	36	42	56	27	12	12	27	37	25	30	33	37	-	17	33	22	6	61	23	50	-	49	27	4	54	55	22	8	55	91	41	30	12	22		57	57	12 57	12 12 57	57 12 57 20	57 12 57 20 20	57 12 20 20 7
-	DD	118	611	115	811	8118	6	611	021	129	621	120	119	120	170	120	120	119	115	115	115	117	117	117	117	911	117	911	117	120	119	120	120	117	117	911	811	1117	117	117	117	117	118		911	116	116	116	116	116	116
	SS	28	21	33	45	e :	2	20	8	2	13	52	2	9	\$	7	25	23	92	31	28	4	4	33	53	31	46	.5	43	39	14	91	2	=	6	40	33	53	38	37	0	38	51	ļ	31	31	32	33 33	31 33 33 29	31 32 33 29 29	31 32 33 33 29 26 26 26
Latitude	MM	12	21	40	50	m 8	3 3	56	37	17	25	99	77	<b>58</b>	88	22	12	74	6	47	-	53	52	25	46	•		4	22	27	92	38	36	49	<b>∞</b>	55	9	40	14	37	9	<b>∞</b>	55		44	4 5	\$ 42	\$ 42	55 44 40 60	55 40 40 41	55 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
-	QQ	35	35	32	35	35	4 5	35	S S	35	34	34	34	34	34	34	35	34	32	32	33	8	34	34	35	34	34	34	33	34	<u>8</u>	34	34	33	34	33	34	33	34	33	34	34	33		33	8 8	8 8 8	3 3 3	33 33 33	2 2 2 2 X	2
١.	l per	100	914	005	200	110	6	232	500	000	010	200	021	910	015	012	904	020	904	003	003	100	100	012	015	017	014	200	98	800	011	004	100	100	200	200	200	903	200	18	200	910	- - - -		002	200	002 001	002 001 007	902 901 907	002 007 007 007	002 002 002 002
is	Number	60295001	60290014	60250005	60290007	6029001	0001110	60290232	\$0006709	60792002	01008809	60831007	6083102	91016809	60831015	60831012	60791004	60831020	60250004	60251003	60254003	10001109	60714001	60710012	60710015	1001109	60710014	60719002	60650004	8000830008	6083201	60832004	60833001	0006509	60370002	60650002	60371002	20016509	60710005	6059200	60712002	60370016	60375001		60651002	60651002	60651002 60652002 60595001	60651002 60652002 60595001 60710007	60651002 60652002 60595001 60710007 60659001	60651002 60652002 60595001 60710007 60659001 60379002	60651002 60652002 60595001 60710007 60859001 60379002
		K MTN BLVD	8 CALIFORNIA ST	ICO HS ETHEL ST	FARM	EST	25	NOR ST	SANIA FE AVE	I 60 MAKSH ST	3 W. CARRILLO ST	S BROADWAY	BERNADOR RD	OF CHEVRON PLAN		N LIGHTHOUSE	7020 LEWIS	UCSB WEST CAMPUS-ARCO TANK, IS	ANT ST	H ST	02 W FIRST ST	UNTAIN VIEW	LIVE ST	& PHELAN RDS	NA ROAD	TWENTYNINE PALMS-6136 ADOBE DR	29 AMARGOSA RD	JOSHUA TREE NATIONAL MONUMENT	9 CANYON CREST	E PARK	RVIEW AVE	T.	ORT RD	ARBOR BLVD	N AVE	LESANDRO	ALM AVE	MESA VERDE DR	GREGORY-LAKE DR	TORO RD	RROW BLVD	UREL	W. 120TH ST		ST	SON ST	ST SON ST LAMBERT	ST SON ST LAMBERT D (Open by 1/96)	ST SON ST LAMBERT D (Open by 1/96)	ST SON ST LAMBERT D (Open by 1/96) M FLINT ST PONDERA ST	SON ST SON ST LAMBERT D (Open by 1/96) B W FLINT ST FONDERA ST N LONG BEACH
	Site Name	ARVIN-20401 BEAR MTN BLVD	BAKERSFIELD-5558 CALIFORNI	CALEXICO-CALEXICO HS ETHEL ST	EDISON-JOHNSON FARM	MOJAVE-923 POOLE ST	OAK VIEW-3300 CASITAS PASS	OILDALE-3311 MANOR ST	PASO KOBLES-235 SANTA FE AVE	SAN LUIS OBISPO-	SANTA BARBARA-3 W. CARRILLO ST	SANTA MARIA-500	CARPINTERIA-GOI	GAVIOTA EAST-N OF CHEVRON	GAVIOTA WEST-N	POINT CONCEPTION LIGHTHOU	SAN LUIS OBISOP-7020 LEWIS	UCSB WEST CAMP	CALEXICO-900 GRANT ST	EL CENTRO-150 9TH ST	WESTMORLAND-202 W FIRST ST	BARSTOW-401 MOUNTAIN VIEW	HESPERIA-17288 OLIVE ST	PHELAN-BEEKLEY & PHELAN RDS	TRONA-83732 TRONA ROAD	TWENTYNINE PAI.	VICTORVILLE-14029 AMARGOSA RD	JOSHUA TREE NAT	UC RIVERSIDE-4919 CANYON CREST	EL CAPITAN STATE PARK	GOLETA-380 W FAIRVIEW AVE	LOMPOC-128 S 'H' ST	SANTA YNEZ-AIRPORT RD	ANAHIEM-1610 S HARBOR BLVI	AZUSA-803 N LOREN AVE	BANNING-135 N ALLESANDRO	BURBANK-228 W PALM AVE	COSTA MESA-2850 MESA VERDE DR	CRESTLINE-LAKE GREGORY-LA	EL TORO-23022 EL TORO RD	FONTANA-14360 ARROW BLVD	GLENDORA-840 LAUREL	HAWTHORNE-5234 W. 120TH ST		HEMET-880 STATE ST	HEMET-880 STATE ST INDIO-46-990 JACKSON ST	HEMET-880 STATE ST INDIO-46-990 JACKSON ST LA HABRA-621 W. LAMBERT	HEMET: 880 STATE ST INDIO-46-990 JACKSON ST LA HABRA-621 W. LAMBERT LAKE ARROWHEAD (Open by 1/96)	HEMET-880 STATE INDIO-46-990 JACK LA HABRA-621 W. LAKE ARROWHEA! LAKE ELSINORE-5	HEME1-880 STATE ST INDIO-46-999 JACKSON ST LAKE ARROWHEAD (Open by 1/96) LAKE ELSINORE-306 W FLINT ST LANCASTER-315 W. PONDERA ST	HEMET-880 STATE ST INDIO-46-990 JACKSON ST LAMBRA-621 W. LAMBERT LAKE ARROWHEAD (Open by 196) LAKE ELSINORE-366 W FLINT ST LANCASTER-315 W. PONDERA ST LONG BEACH-3648 N LONG BEACH
Data	Source	CARB	CARB	CARB	CARB	CARB	CARB	CARB	CAKB	CAKB	CARB	CARB	CHVRON	CHVRON	CHVRON	CHVRON	EMC	EXXON	ICAPCD	ICAPCD	ICAPCD	MDAQMD	MDAQMD	MDAQMD	MDAQMD	MDAQMD	MDAQMD	NPS	RIVER	SBAPCD	SBAPCD	SBAPCD	SBAPCD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAOMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD		SCAOMD	SCAQMD	SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD	SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD	SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD	SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD SCAQMD	SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD SCAÇMD
Site	a	ARVN	BLFC	CALE	EDSN	MOJP	2001	OLDL	PSKB	SLOM	SBWC	SMSB	CPGB	GAVE	GAVW	PTCL	SLPL	UCSB	CLXC	EC9S	WEST	BARS	HESP	PHEL	TRNA	29PM	VICT	JOSH	ncoc	ECSP	GLWF	LPSH	SYAP	ANAH	AZSA	BANN	BRBK	CMMV	LGRE	ELTR	FONT	GLDR	HAWH		HEMT	HEMT	HEMT INDO LHAB	HEMT INDO LHAB	HEMT INDO LHAB	HEMT INDO LHAB LELS LANC	HEMT INDO LHAB LELS LANC NLGB

Appendix A. Surface Air Quality Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude			Longitude	6	Elev.			Varia	Variables Measured	asured		
9	Source	Site Name	Number	9	MM	SS	aa	MM	SS	E	03	NO	NOX	93	THC	CH4	NMHC
	SCAOMD	MIRA LOMA-BELLEGRAVE AVE (by 1/96)	80005909														
PALM	SCAOMD	PALM SPRINGS-FS 590 RACQUET CL	60655001	33	25	11	911	32	31	171	Э	Е	<b>a</b>	ш	បា		ш
PDSW	SCAQMD	PASADENA-752 S. WILSON AVE	60372005	75	5	2	<u>8</u>	9	28	250	ш	ш	ш	ш			
PERR	SCAOMD	PERRIS-237_5 N "D" ST	10095909	33	47	27	117	14	31	439	Э					:	
PICO	SCAOMD	PICO RIVERA-3713 SAN GABRIEL	60371601	34	0	46	-18	3	32	75	ш	ш	Э	н	ш		Э
POMA	SCAOMD	POMONA-924 N. GAREY AVE	60371701	34	4	-	117	45	3	270	Э	ш	ш	Œ	ш	!	ш
	SCAOMD	REDLANDS-DEARBORN	60714003	7	3	35	117	6	35	481	ш						
RSDA	SCAOMD	RESEDA-18330 GAULT ST	60371201	34	=	26	811	31	28	226	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш		
RIVM	SCAOMD	RIVERSIDE-7002 MAGNOLIA AVE	60651003	33	98	45	111	24	0	249		ш	ш	ш.	Э		
RIB	SCAOMD	RUBIDOUX-5888 MISSION BLVD	60658001	¥	0	35	117	25	33	250	ы	(11)	ш	Э	Э	Э	
NAN	SCAOMD	SAN BERNARDINO-24302 4TH ST	60719004	¥	9	28	117	91	77	336	ш	ш	Э	ш			
3	SCAOMD	SAN DIMAS-GLADSTONE (open by 1/96)	60370006	i	1					į					:		
O V	SCAONO	CANTA CLABITA, SAN FERNANDORD	60376002	75	23	91	118	32	2	375	ш	ш	ш	ы			
1000	SCAOMD	TEMECH A CONNY CENTER	-5009	33	56	3	117	∞	41	341	ш	ш	ப	ш		! !	
321	SCAOM	11bl AND 155 "D" CT	60711003	5		25	117	95	0	379		ш	E				
741	SCAOMIN S	WI DE ANGEL FE VA HORBITAL	60370113	75			=	27	2	16	Э	ш	Э	Э	ш	ш	
41.00	SCACIME SPACE	AT DINE 2300 VICTOBIA DB	90011209	3	40	85	- 19	45	6	603	Œ	ы	ш	!	ш	ш	
ALTIN	SDAOMD SDAOMD	CHILL A VISTA 80 E "I" ST	60730001	3 2	3	22	112	. ~	21	   	(E	- 12	<u> </u>	ы	<u> </u>		n
	DIVIDIO	DEL MAD MIDACOSTA COLLECT	60721001	3 2	; 5	1 9	=======================================	, 2	46	*	u						Τ
DMMC	SDAUMD	DEL MAR-MIRACUSIA COLLEGE	10075700	2 2	5	2 2	211	23	2 2	3	3 6	ū	ū	ū	4		ū
ECAJ	SDACMD	EL CAJON-1155 REDWOOD AVE	60/30003	2	4	17	2	6	7	2 10	4	9 5	3 6	3 6	ם	1	נו נ
ESCO	SDAQMD	ESCONDIDO-600 E. VALLEY PKWY	60731002	2	-	40	<u> </u>	4	9	704	1 1	11 i	ıı s	u	ء ا د ا		a
OCEA	SDAQMD	OCEANSIDE-1701 MISSION AVE	60730005	2	12	0	117	22	-	3.	ון נב	n (	ъ,	11	נו	-	
OTAY	SDAQMD	OTAY-1100 PASEO INTERNATIONAL	60732007	32	35	7	911	<b>2</b> 6	91	122	ш	ы		-1	ļ		:
SDUN	SDAQMD	SAN DIEGO-1133 UNION ST	60730007	33	43	-	117	6	53	12				<u></u>			
SD12	SDAQMD	SAN DIEGO-330A 12TH AVE	60731007	32	45	32	117	6	2	9	Э	Э	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш
SDOV	SDAQMD	SAN DIEGO-5555 OVERLAND AVE	9000£209	32	46	40	117	7	88	135	Э	Ξ	ш	ш	ш	<u>ы</u>	ш
BKGS	SJVUCD	BAKERSFIELD-1138 GOLDEN STATE	60290010	35	23	٧.	119	0	53	151	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш	Э	ы
ATAS	SLOCO	ATASCADERO-6005 LEWIS AVE	10086209	35	53	27	120	40	4	098	ы	ш	Э				
GCTY	SLOCO	GROVER CITY-9 LE SAGE DR	60792001	35	7	R	120	37	28	\$	ш	ш	ш				
MOBY	SLOCO	MORRO BAY-MORRO BAY BL & KERNR	60793001	35	22	4	202	47	<b>8</b> 8	<b>8</b> 2	ш						
NPSW	SLOCO		60794001	35	2	31	120	30	0	101	ш	ш	ш				
GTCA	TEXACO	OF PI	60831017	8	78	-2	120	13	12	37	ш	ш	ш				
STCC	TEXACO	GAVIOTA-GTC C I MI E OF PLANT	60831019	34	78	53	120	=	20	70	ш	ш	Э		ш		
GTCB	TEXACO	NOJOQUI PASS-GTC B HWY 101	60831018	*	31	40	120	=	45	305	ш	ш	ı	!		- 1	
LPHS	UNOCAL	LOMPOC-HS&P FACILITY 500 M SW	60831013	34	43	33	120	22	9	244	ы	Э	ш		9		
TOSP	UNOCAL	LOS PADRES NF-PARADISE RD	60831014	3	32	27	119	41	22	547	ш	ш	ш				
NIPO NIPO	UNOCAL	NIPOMO-1300 GUADALUPE RD	60792004	32	_	61	120	34	∞	99	шļ	İ	-				
PTAR	UNOCAL	POINT ARGUELLO-NE OF SLC	60834004	34	34	37	120	38	23	-5	ш	ш	Э		ш		
SMBB	UNOCAL	SANTA MARIA-BATTLES BETTERAVIA	60831010	34	55	56	130	54	٣	16	ы	ш	Э		ш		
VBPP	VBGAFB	VANDENBERG AFB-STS POWER PLANT	60834003	34	35	45	120	37	47	90	ធា	ш	ш	ш	ш		
ELRO	VCAPCD	EL RIO-RIO MESA SCHOOL	61113001	34	15	53	119	•	7	34	Ε	33)	ш	ш	ш	E)	ш
EMMA	VCAPCD	EMMA WOOD STATE BEACH	61112003	34	17	23	119	61	20	3	Ε	ш	ш			į	
	VCAPCD	OJAI - OJAI AVENUE (replaces Maricopa)		34	92	47	611	4	•	245	Э	ш	ш				
PRTG	VCAPCD	PIRU-2SW, 2815 TELEGRAPH RD	61110004	34	23	25	118	49	79	182	Э						
SVAL	VCAPCD	SIMI VALLEY-5400 COCHRAN ST	61112002	75	91	9	118	4	'n	310	m	ш	ы	ш	ш	ш	ы
TOMP	VCAPCD	THOUSAND OAKS-9 2323 MOORPARK	61110007	34	12	35	118	22	<b>∞</b>	232	Э	ш	Э				
ARGR	XONTEC	ARROYO GRANDE-RALCOA WAY	60791005	35	2	38	120	34	41	300					33	ш	ш
									:	!							

KEY E - Existing resource P - Proposed resource for other effort; can be used by SCOS97

Appendix A. Surface Air Quality Sites

Site Data	ata		Site		Latitude			ongitude	٠	Elev.			Varia	'ariables Measured	sured		
ID S	onrce	Source Site Name	Number	OO	MM	SS	QQ	MM	SS	E	93	ON	NOX	93	THC	CH4	NMH
- Recomme	Recommended for SCOS97	26800															
- Augment	existing res	A - Augment existing resource for SCOS97															
- Relocated	- Relocated resource for SCOS97	v SCOS97															

Appendix B Surface Meteorology Sites

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude			Longitude		Elev.			Var	Variables Measured	sured		
<u>e</u>	Source	Site Name	Number	QQ	MM	SS	QQ	MM	SS	Ε	SM	QM	L	Td RH	I Pr	SR	Vis
BARA	BLM	BARAGAN WASH	327D53AC	32	88	3	113	26	28	148	Э	Е	<u> </u>	Э			
BEAR	BLM	BEAR PEAK	32554592	35	53	8	811	3	9	2509	ш	ш	ш	E			
BIG	BLM	BIG BEND	325CA03C	35	7	30	14	42	30	305	Э	Ε	ш	31		-	-
BORO	BLM		3277B10C	32	<b>S</b>	39	117	34	25	882	Ε	н	ш	Ξ			
BORN S	BLM	BURNS CANYON	325775FC	34	12	8	911	37	15	1829	ы	Е	ы	Э			
CALI	BLM BLM	CALIENTE	325472F2	33	S,	2 :	61	æ :	45	1349	ы	ш	<u>гэ</u> (	ъ.			
CARR	DLM DI V	CARRAZO	322472F2	ર	1	4	£ :	90	22	759	ы	ы	ш	Ξ	+		-
CHR	DI M	CHRISTMAS IREE PAS	32507708	8	9 8	<u> </u>	24	<del>\$</del>	8	1052	Ξ.	ы	ш	<u>.</u>	-		
HSH	D.M.	FISH CREEK MOUNTAIN	32//A2/A	25	3		9 :	m !	28	232	ш ;	ы	ш	n)			
OOO O	E N	GOODWIN MESA	324C6ZBC	ž,	3 5	0	2	<u>.</u>	0   8	1280	ы г	ы ;	ш,	<u>ы</u> і	1	-	
HAVA	BI M	HAVAGE	33300564	5 2	7, 5	• :		- ;	3 5	1439	ı ı	ıı ı	23 [	T) (	-		
HORS	E N	HORSE THIFF SPRING	3279B304	35	1 2	:   :	\$1.5	2 2	7	£ 51	T) [2	<b>1</b> ) [1	ם נב	ב) נ	-	<del>-</del>	
IAWB	BIM	IAWRONE	32538042	8	2 2	2 9		ž =	3 5	47C1	<b>a</b> 6	14 L	נו	בו נ	-		
MID	BLM	MIDHILLS	3254C17C	3 5	. 0	2 3	212	- Z	3 5	1650	u u	1 11	n) [1	4   4	+		:
MOHA	BLM	MOHAVE MOUNTAIN	327A7774	34	33	3 5	72	; =	3 8	1433	1 . 64	3 [12	מונ	7 6	-	-	-
MOJA	BLM	MOJAVE RIVER SINK	3277C79C	£	3	30	192	: ! •	3 0	290	ı E	1   [1	1 (1	, ,	-	-	
MOSS	BLM	MOSS BASIN	327D364A	35	2	-	=		, 5	1805	1 1	1 12	3 (1	1   12		-	
MOUN	BLM	MOUNT LOGAN	324CA7A2	36	20	200	= =====================================	=	\$	2195	ш	1 12	1 [11	1.11			
MUSI	ВГМ	MUSIC MOUNTAIN	3279707A	35	35	84	133	84	22	1768	ш	ш	ш	Ξ Ξ	<u> </u>	-	
NXFT	BLM	NIXON FLATS PORTAB	327C4220	36	23	24	113	6	•	1982	Ε	ы	ம	-			
OLAF	BLM.	OLAF KNOLLS	324C814E	36	2	0	13	49	0	884	ш	ш	ம	1	!	-	
OPAL	BLM	OPAL MOUNTAIN	3257960E	35	6	20	117	=	0	886	ш	μì	ш	EII EII	-	1	
PAHR	BLM	PAHRUMP	325CB34A	36	2	15	116	9	4	793	Э	ធា	ы	Ξ.		Ĺ	
PANA	BLM	PANAMINT	3277D4EA	36	7	0	117	\$	0	2098	Э	ш	Э	<u>—</u>	-		
PICA	BLM	PICACHO WASH	3.28E+173	32	22		114	43	56	526	Э	ш	ш	9	i T		
RED	ВГМ	RED ROCK	32516644	36	œ	7	115	52	38	1146	Э	ங	<u> </u>	ш			
RCE	BLM	RICE VALLEY	3277F206	34	3	54	114	42	92	250	Э	Э	Ε	ம			
HMLS	BLM	SACATONE WASH	324DD3C8	8	34	22	114	40	28	999	н	ш	ъ	ш			
SMKV	BLM	SANTA MARIA RIVER	327AF160	*	- 12	22	=	71	35	427	3	ш	ш	ш			
IIWS	BLM	SMITH PEAK	327D7540	34	9	22	=	20	S	762	ш	ы	ш	ш			
SOLK	BLM	SQUAW LAKE	327C34B0	22	2	8	114	59	40	16	н	ш	ш	ы			
SOSP	BLM	SQUAW SPRINGS	3256429C	8	22	12	117	34	9	104	œ	ш	ш	ш			
ONIC	BLM	UNION PASS	3277246E	32	2	<del>2</del>	114	22	23	1073	ш	ш	EL)	田	-		
WALK	BLM	WALKER PASS	3253B5D8	۲ (۲	30	23	118	3	25	669	<u>ш</u>	:11	ш,	E		-	
TELL	DLM	ABVIN 20401 BEAD AFFILIA	323FB444	9 5	2 5	2 2	E :	25	30	1878	m)I	ш і	ш) I	ш	_ <u>i</u>		
BLFC	CARB	BAKERSFIELD-5558 CALIFORNIA ST	60293001	35	7	97	011	,4	7	777	1) [1	13 12	n		+		
CALE	CARB	CALEXICO-CALEXICO HS ETHEL ST	60250005	32	9	33	115	28	85	-	п	)   m	1	1 1 1 1	-	in.	
EDSN	CARB	EDISON-JOHNSON FARM	60290007	35	20	\$	811	15	6	425	ш	ш	m	H	_		
MOJP	CARB	MOJAVE-923 POOLE ST	60290011	35		_	811	<b>∞</b>	45	853	Э	<u>B</u>	Э		<u> </u>		
THOS	CARB	OAK VIEW-5500 CASITAS PASS RD	61110005	34	23	13	611	74	57	320	ш	ம	Э		İ		
OLDL	CARB	OILDALE-3311 MANOR ST	60290232	35	56	70	611	0	57	98	ш	ш	ш	<u></u>			
PSRB	CARB	PASO ROBLES-235 SANTA FE AVE	60790005	35	37	55	120	41	23	8	ш	ш	ш				
SLOM	CARB	SAN LUIS OBISPO-1160 MARSH ST	60792002	35	17	7	120	39	Ξ	99	ы	ы	th)				
SBWC	CARB	SANTA BARBARA-3 W. CARRILLO ST	60830010	34	23	13	119	42	3	71	យ	ធា	ല				
SMSB	CARB	SANTA MARIA-500 S BROADWAY	60831007	34	96	52	120	56	7	111	Э	Э	Е			_	
E E	CARB	SHAFTER-548 WALKER ST	60296001	35	30	14	119	9	16	126	ы	Ξ	Е				
TOMP	CARB	THOUSAND OAKS-9 2323 MOORPARK	61110007	34	12	32	81.1	52	œ	232	ш	ш	Э	Œ			
VISA	CARB	VISALIA-2310 N CHURCH ST	61072002	36	6	55	611	17	23	16	Э	Е	ы				
ACN	CDF	ACTON		34	26	٥	8=	12	•	793							

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Sife	Data		Site		Latitude		1	ongitude		Elev.			Var	Variables Measured	easured			Г
1	Source	Site Name	Number	QQ	MM	SS		MM	SS	E	WS	WD	T	Lq	RH	Pr	SR	Vis
ANZ	CDF	ANZA		33	33	0	911	40	0	1195								
!	CDF	ARROYO GRANDE		35	=	0	120	25	0	183					-	_		
BEC	CDF	BELL CANYON		33	32	0	117	35	0	213								
САН	CDF	CAHOON		36	20	0	121	30	0	683	<del></del>	+				+	+	1
css	CDF	RINGS		33	56	0		25	0	707			Ť	+		İ	+	
DEV	CDF	DEVORE		8 8	2   2	> 0		47		2 2	i	-	+	+	+	+	†	
S L	CD	FOUNTAIN SPRINGS		3 5	34	0	11	42	0	543		+-	+	+	-	<u> </u>	-	Γ
L	CDE	THE TAN		3 5	4	0	9	35	0	1293			-		-	:		
3 2	300	IIINIPER ELAT		3 8	46	0	117	S	0	646		l		İ	-			İ
1 PZ	96	LA PANZA		32	22	0	120	=	0	497			-					
LSF	CDF	LAS FLORES		33	17	0	117	56	0	30								
LTB	CDF	LAS TABLAS		35	39	0	120	SS	0	290								
PKF	CDF	PARKFIELD		33	53	0	120	25	0	468					-	-		
POT	CDF	POTRERO		32	36	0	116	36	0	716		_					-	
RAN	CDF	RANCITA		33	12	0	116	30	0	1274						<del>-</del>	-	
SAF	CDF	SANTA FE		34	7	0	117	26	0	122		+	i		_		-	
SAR	CDF	SANTA ROSA PLATEAU		33	31	0	117	13	٥	604					-	+		-
CMP	CDF	TARGET RANGE		33	22	0	117	21	0	280			-		-	-	-	
VAL	CDF	VALLEY CENTER		33	2	0	911	29	0	418			-	-+			-	
YUC	CDF	YUCCA VALLEY		33	7	0	116	24	0	994		19			-	1		Ī
CPGB	CHVRON	CARPINTERIA-GOBERNADOR RD	60831021	35	24	2	119	27	28	137	ш	<u>ш</u>	ш		+	+	-	T
GAVE	CHVRON	GAVIOTA EAST-N OF CHEVRON PLAN	91016809	34	78	9	120	- 12	71	25	<b>u</b>	ш	1 L			+	-	T
GAVW	CHVRON	GAVIOTA WEST-NW OF CHEVRON PLA	60831015	34	28	9	120	12	39	2	<b>1</b>	E2	<u>ы</u>	1				-
VDMR	CHVRON	GAVIOTA-ODOR EAST	60831024	*	28	6	120	2	33	35	3	<b>1</b>	ا <u>د</u> ا د		+	-	+	1
ODOR	CHVRON	GAVIOTA-ODOR WEST-S OF PLANT	60831023	*	28	15	120	13	15	82	23   t	ا بد ا	- п.,		+	†	+	Ī
PTCL	CHVRON	POINT CONCEPTION LIGHTHOUSE	60831012	*	- 27	7	120	27	78	2	2	ы   I	л <u>і</u> :	-	-	+	-	
ARED	CIMIS	ARVIN-EDISON	125	: : :	12	22	118	9 5	<b>a</b> 8	152	n c	1	וני	n	ם ני	+	1) (1	
BKWC	CIMIS	BLACKWELLS CORNER	24	<u>۾</u>	88	5	ál :	2	2	617	13 C	ם	ם   נ	n n	0 0	+	1 11	:
CAMB	CIMIS	CALIPATRIA/MULBERRY	41	2	7 .	5	9	4	2	45	ا د	u   u	1 2	1) [1	a   p	+	2 6	
CLRM	CIMIS	CLAREMONT	28	*	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\$ 3	2	4 2	9 5	494	0   E	0 0	2 12	1 11	<b>а</b> [и		1 12	
COYA	CIMIS	CUYAMA	2 2	<b>*</b>   2	2 -		1 2	00	2 5	137	и 	រ   ជ	1 0	1 12	1 1	+	1 1	:
ESCO	CIMIS	ESCONDIDO ENGENOTATE AVEES 115DA	4, (	2 2	, ,	17	120	8 4	47	2 6	1 11	1   1	1 (1)	1 6	) in	<del>-</del> -	1 111	
CAEU	CIMIS	COLETA BOOTHII IS	94	2	86	<u>×</u>	2	3	4	56	ш	ш	ш	m	ш	İ	ш	
E S	CIMIS	IRVINE	75	33	4	61	117	5	4	125	H	m	ш	ш	3		Э	
KETT	CIMIS	KETTLEMAN	21	33	52	80	119	53	39	104	ш	ш	ш	Е	Ε		ш	
S I	CIMIS	LINDCOVE	98	36	21	56	119	3	31	146	3	ш	ш	Э	Э	+	ш	
MELO	CIMIS	MELOLAND	87	32	48	24	115	56	46	-15	ш	ш	ы	ш	3	+	ш	
NBSP	CIMIS	NEWBERRY SPRINGS	110	34	20	56	116	38	29	88	ш	ш	ш	ш	H		ы i	1
OCSD	CIMIS	OCEANSIDE .	49	33	2	21	117	6	=	2	3	ш	Э	<b>4</b>	ы		<u>п</u>	-
PLVD	CIMIS	PALO VERDE	72	33	23	2	114	54	21	۶	in l	ш	ш	(E)	<u>ы</u> г	-	ш	
POMO	CIMIS	POMONA	78	34	3	<u></u>	117	84	42	223	ıı	13    	11 I	11 1	2) I	+	ם כ	1
PTHU	CIMIS	PORT HUENEME	97	*	2	24	119	12	0	~	ш	ш	ш	E 1	<b>1</b>	+	22   12	
RAMO	CIMIS	RAMONA	86	33	2	88	91	26	82	60	ш	u ji	ш	21 C	л :	+	11 c	
SLTE	CIMIS	SALTON SEA EAST	128	33	13	12	115	*	48	69	3	<u> </u>	23 1	-   r	ı	+	21 L	1
SLTW	CIMIS	SALTON SEA WEST	127	33	19	38	115	57	0	69	ш	ш	<u>ы</u>	31 L	E3 [	+	ы E	T
SAND	CIMIS	SAN DIEGO	99	33	43	59	117	∞	2	£ 3	ы	<u>п</u>	1	n   n	נו		<u>.</u>	
SLOP	CIMIS	SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$2	32	<b>∞</b> 3	22	120	39	33	2 2	11 F	n)	1)	n   L	a) [5	+	<u>.</u>	
SNBA	CIMIS	SANTA BARBARA	107	34	52	16	611	4 2	01	92	32 C	יוני	ם	77   17	2 0	+	<u>.</u>	1
SNTM	CIMIS	SANTA MARIA	38	34	2,	اء	120	752	2	78	1	u	1	- -	-	1	3	]

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude			onoitude		Flav			A	riobles A	Variables Massured			
Œ	Source	Site Name	Number	aa	MM		ΩΩ	MM		E	WS	WD	T	Td	RII	Pr	SR	Ϋ́
STAM	CIMIS	SANTA MONICA	66	34	2	78	118	28	34	104	Э	- 1	ы	E.	ω		+	T
SNTY	CIMIS	SANTA YNEZ	64	34	3	59	120	4	41	149	ы	ш	ш	(E)	ш		1   12	-
SELY	CIMIS	SEELEY	89	32	45	34	115	43	54	12	<u>.</u>	ш	ш	ш	ш		ш	T
SHAF	CIMIS	SHAFTER/USDA	S	35	31	29	119	16	52	110	Ε	Э	· ш	<u> </u>	Э	:	Э	
IEME	CIMIS	TEMECULA	62	33	23	22	117	13	20	433	ш	ш	ы	ш	ы	 	ш	:
HEX	CIMIS	THERMAL	20	33	38	41	911	41	30	-30	ш	ш	ш	ш	ы		9	
VTD	CIMIS	VICTOBARITE	44	5	2	¥ :	= :	20	∞ :	311	ш	ш	ш;	ш	ы		ш;	
V 1.7V	CIMIS	VICTORVILLE	1	¥ ;	8	42	117	5 :	40	188	ш	ш	ш	ш.	ш	i	Э	
I D	ENG	SANTING ODIEGN 2000 I DIVING	33	۹	2 9	٠	611	<u></u>	23	107	ш	Э	ш	Э)	ш		ы	
1 2	EMC	SAN LOIS UBISUR- 1020 LEWIS	60791004	: :	12	25	120	37	4	<b>8</b>	ы	Ξ						
اداد	EXXON	CAPITAN-LFC #1 LAS FLORES CNYN	60831025	*	53	23	120	2	45	189	ш	ш	ш·					
2 5	EXXON	CAPITAN-LFC #2 LAS FLORES CNYN	60831026	8	28	4	120	-	88	257	ы	ш	Э		_			
3 3	EXXON	CAPITAN-LFC #3 LAS FLORES CNYN	60831027	75	- 58	œ	120	2	70	146	ы	ш	ш					
IICSB	EXXON	LICSB WEST CAMPIS. ARCO TANK IS	60831028	4 2	87 2	2 2	120	7	34	60	шіг	ш	ш				-	ij
	LACECD	ANAHEIM BASE	2000	3 2	5	3 2	117	7. 5	; ;	, ,	u	4	<u>.</u>			+	-	
	LACFCD	BAKERSFIELD BASE		ž	*	2 2	) I	3 2	67	£ 172			1			-	1	
	LACFCD	BEAUMONT BASE		3 5	3 5	2 9	711	5	2 5	202	1	†		+	1	+	-	
	LACFCD	BRANFORD BASE		2	3 =	33	011	8	2 2	200		-	†	+	+	+		i
	LACFCD	CANOGA BASE		7	2 2	1 8	2 2	35	2 5	771	1				<u> </u>	+	+	
	LACFCD	GOLETA STATION		34	25	2	110	40	12	3,2	1		1	t			1	-
	LACFCD	JUANITA BASE		8	8	=	118	11	6	2	1		:	+	+		+	
	LACFCD	LANCASTER BASE		\ <u>\</u>	41	26	8	0.7	20	7.76	i		1	+	<del>-</del> -	+	-	
	LACFCD	MONTEBELLO BASE		72	ē	47	=	3		205				İ	<u> </u>	+	+	
	LACFCD	MONTECLAIR BASE		8	20	37	117	9	24	368	1		+			-	+	
	LACFCD	PLAYA DEL REY		33	89	. 75	8	74	50	2			T		1	+	-	
	LACFCD	RIVERSIDE BASE		33	58	02	117	55	12	267		-			-		-	i
	LACFCD	SAN LUIS OBISPO		33	16	=	120	39	17	3	T				+			:
	LACFCD	VISALIA BASE		36	20	03	119	17	10	101				-	i	-	-	
BARS	MDAQMD	BARSTOW-401 MOUTAIN VIEW	60710001	75	53	41	117	-	92	069	ш	ш	ш	-	-	-		
HESP	MDAQMD	HESPERIA-17288 OLIVE ST	60714001	34	25	4	117	17	2	9001	n	Ξ	ш		-		-	
PHEL	MDAQMD		60710012	34	22	33	117	33	46	1250	ы	E		-	<del>  -</del>			
TRNA V	MDAQMD	TRONA-83732 TRONA ROAD	60710015	35	46	29	117	22	-	498	ш	ш			<u> </u>			i
Z9PM	MDAQMD	TWENTYNINE PALMS-6136 ADOBE DR	60710017	34	∞	31	911		17	209	Э	Э						
\ \ \	MDAUMD	4029 AMARGOS	60710014	*	m ;	46	117	6	<b>œ</b>	876	ш	ш	Э				В	:
	NAWC	LAGUNA PEAK		*	8	31	611	63	Z	441								
	NAWC	POINT MIGH		32	25	33	82	2 2	57	808	Ì	i		+	+		-	
!	NAWC	PORT HUENEME			8 8	47	119	2	40 69	786				+		+	+	
	NAWC	SAN MIGUEL ISLAND		34	5	65	130	5	215	254			1			+		
	NAWC	SAN NICOLAS ISLAND		33	15	36	119	75	70	6						1	+	
	NAWC	SANTA CRUZ ISLAND		33	83	43	611	38	8	458	T		-			<u> </u>	-	T
NSOL	NPS	JOSHUA TREE NATIONAL MONUMENT	60719002	34	4	15	116	23	27	379	Ħ	ш	ш	ш		-	EE	Γ
LOST	NPS	LOST HORSE	FA6065D0	34	_	4	116	=	9	1280	ш	ш	ш		ш	-		į
RATT	NPS	RATTLESNAKE	FA60B3B8	36	24	42	8	25	82	2622	ш	Э	Э	<del> </del>	ш	<del> </del>	-	
	NPS	SANTA BARBARA ISLAND		33	53	8	119	02	8	54				-		-	:  -	
SCIS	NPS	SANTA CRUZ ISLAND	FA45F69C	33	53	45	119	43	20	2/2	ம	ш	ш	-	ω		 	T :
į	NPS	SANTA ROSA ISLAND		33	88	8	120	94	30	396								
777	NWS	AVALUN DAVEDEREI D		33	212	0	118	6	0	42	ш	Э	ш	ы		ы		<u>ы</u>
J G	242	DANERSFIELD		ક	3	٥	61	<u>م</u>	0	130	ы	ш	m	E)	1	<u>.</u>		ы
DVG.	cwvi	BEAUMUNI		ŝ	8	٩	921	36	0	792	ш	<u>п</u>	<u> </u>	Ξ	-	E	-	ш

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude		1	Longitude		Elev.			Vai	riables N	Variables Measured			Γ
Ð	Source	Site Name	Number	QQ	MM	SS	l l	MM	SS	E	WS	WD		Td	RH	Pr SR	-	Vis
ВГН	NWS	BLYTHE		33	37	0	114	43	0	36	ш	ш	ы	Ξ.		ш		ш
BUR	NWS	BURBANK		34	12	0	118	21	30	223	ш	ш	ы	Э		ម	I	<u>::</u>
СМА	NWS	CAMERILLO		34	12	48	119	\$	36	23	Э	ы	Э	Ε		н	_	ш
CZZ	NWS	CAMPO		32	56	0	911	28	0	245	យ	ы	ш	ш		<b>1</b>	_	ш
CRQ	NWS			33	7	42	117	91	84	001	ш	ш	ш	ப		Ξ	_	ш
X X	SMN	CATALINA AIRPORT		: :	47	<u>*</u>	118	47	2	488	£	Ľ	Ľ	į.			+	
	NWS NW/C	CHINA LAKE NWC		3 5	4 :0	5 6	111	141	2 :	100	u u	1) [1	<u> </u>	n   t-	:	1 P		u · u
DAG	NWN	DAGGETT/RARSTOW AP		5 2	8 5	3 0	91	47	10	540	3 [2	3 [1]	3 (2)	3   12		3 12		3 6
DRA	SWN	DESERT ROCK		. %	2	0	115	. 05	0	365	п	1 111	1 (11	i izi		1 111		- L
EDW	SMN	EDWARDS AFB		34	24	0	117	52	12	702	Э	u	ы	ш		E		ш
SEE	NWS	EL CAJON/GILLESPIE AP		32	49	42	116	58	18	117	E	ш	Ξ	<u> </u>		E)		E.
XX	NWS	EL CENTRO		32	49	0	115	40	0	4	Э	ធា	. ш	ш	•	· m		. <u></u>
EMT	NWS	EL MONTE		34	4	12	118	2	0	91	ы	ш	Э	ш		ш	_	ш
ZZ	NWS	EL TORO MCAS		33	42	0	117	42	0	117	ш	ы	ப	ш		ы	_	ബ
BYS	NWS	FORT IRWIN/BARSTOW/BICYCLE LK		35	<u>8</u>	0	911	39	0	764	ш	ы	ш	ш 		ш	_	ы
1	NWS	FULLERTON		£	52	2	117	88	\$	53	ш	Э.	ш:	ш:	-	<del>. ;</del> ш:	_	<u>.</u> ш і
H.	NWS	HAWTHORNE	:	8	55	75	<u>8</u>	20	9	19	Э	Э	ы	ш		ш		ш
IPI.	NWS	IMPERIAL		32	s :	15	113	34	30	-1-	ш	Э	ы · с	ш		ш;		ы
CHA	CWN	IMPERIAL BEACH		7	*	> ;		-	>	٥	4 1	1		<u>.</u> د د	1	) a je	-   - - - -	ی د
<u>V</u>	NWS	KINGMAN, AZ		2	2	47		۶ ا	74	102	<b>ы</b> [	11 c	ا ا تد ا	-) L	+	22 (	+	., .
W.	SWN S	LANCASTER		# 2	÷	0 0	8 3	2	o   9	37	11 L	<u>ت</u> د	<u>ب</u>	נו	+	.u	-	1) [
C S	CMN	LAS VEGAS, NV		s		5		, ;	2	6	2	וו	2	ם		u [	-	 0   0
2 5	SWN S	LE VEKNESKACKETT AF		\$ 1	۶			\$ 5	> 0	3 5	1	2) 0	u   p		-	2 0	-1-	11 D
NEC.	ZMZ	LEMOORE		ę ;	3 5	5	611	6		2 !	1   1	1	2	ם		2) [	7	2) E
851	NWS	LONG BEACH		2 2	49	ء ہ	8 0	6		٥	ח	11   11	2 0	E) (I		11 11	- -	12 L
1710	CWN	LOS ALAMITOS		3   5	9 3	 - ;	9	, ,	2	,	3 6	2 : L	2   1	ء اد		2   1		a   c
LAX	NWS	LOS ANGELES INTERN AF		3 2	8 2	2	8 2	47	47	36	1   L	1 1	2   12	2) [2	+	1) LE		<u> </u>
2 2	NW	MENCHAID		5 2	5 5	2 5	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	2 2	, ,	-	3   5	ם	ם ונ	2 0		3 6	+	3 6
MIN	SMN	MOJAVE AP		3. 55	٠ س	2 8	=	2.0	) o	850	a   tu	1 m	ı u	ıш		ı u	+	) [12
MYF	SWN	MONTGOMERY FIELD		32	48	0	111	وا	0	125	ш	ы	<u>:ω</u>	ш		ı u		ш
MWS	NWS	MOUNT WILSON		75	13	30	811	3	0	1739	m	ш	ш	Э		ш	<u> </u>	Э
EED	NWS	NEEDLES		¥	94	۰	114	37	30	302	ш	ш	9	Ξ		ங	_	Ε
LSV	NWS	NELLIS AFB, NV		36	15	0	115	2	0	695	ш	ш	ш	ы		ш	_	ы
SEG.	NWS	OCEANSIDE/CAMP PENDLETON		33	13	0	117	24	0	61	Ξ	ы	Э	ш	:	<u>.</u>	_;	<u></u>
LNO.	NWS	ONTARIO		34	m :	22	112	36	= (	230	ш	ш (	ш	ш		E 1		E3 C
AX B	NWN	DAI M SPRINGS		4 25	71 05		411	3 5	0	146	ц	n	n n	13 (1		n m		
PMD	SWN	PALMDALE		34	38	0	118	5	0	774	ш	ш	Э	ы		ш		Ш
PRB	NWS	PASO ROBLES		35	9	24	120	37	36	255	Э	ы	ы	ш		ы		ш
	NWS	POINT ARGUELLO		34	35	8	120	39	8	12								
NTD	NWS	POINT MUGU NAS		34	7	12	119	7	0	_ 2 _	ш	வ	ш	ш		ш		Э
ΡΤΛ	NWS	PORTERVILLE		36	-	48	119	3	42	135	ш	ш	ш	3		ப		ш
RAL	NWS	RIVERSIDE		33	57	9	117	- 5e	42	249	ம	ы	ш	ы		ш		ш
NOC	NWS	SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND AP		33	-	0	<u>8</u>	35	0	55	ы	ш	Э	ш	-	ы	7	ш
SAN	NWS	SAN DIEGO		32	44	0	117	=	12	5	ш	m) i	ш	ш		ш I	7	<u></u> ,
SDM	SMN	SAN DIEGO/BROWN FIELD		32	34	æ ,	911	88	88	091	ш	ш	m ı	ы :	+	ET I	_	ыı
XX	SMN	SAN DIEGO/MIRAMAR NAS		32	22	0	117	r :	0	<u>4</u>	ш	ıı	ш	ы t		ш.		n t
λZN	NWS	SAN DIEGO/NORTH ISLAND		32	43	0 ;	117	2 2	0 (	2	33 t	m t	ы	n l	+	ш	+	<u>ы</u>  г
NS	NWS	SAN NICOLAS ISLAND		33	4		4	/2	٥	4	II	ī	1	1	-	1		_]

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude			Longitude		Flow			Vo	violator N	Variable Meseured			
<u>a</u>	Source	Site Name	Number	QQ	MM	SS	00	MM	SS	ε	WS	WD	T	Td	RI	Pr S	SR	Vis
870	NWS	SAN SIMEON/PT PIEDRAS		35	56	99	121	91	48	9	П	Э	Е	Ε	-	ı ı	-	EL.
SDB	NWS	SANDBERG		34	45	0	118	44	0	1379	ш	ш	ш	Э		ш		ш
SNA	NWS	SANTA ANA		33	40	30	117	52	0	91	ш	E	Э	E		Э	-	ш
SBA	NWS	SANTA BARBARA		34	56	0	119	20	0	9	ы	Ξ	Э	E		ы		ш
SMO	NWS	SANTA MONICA		*	0	54	81	27	0	53	Э	Э	ш	Ξ		ш		ш
42	NWS	SANTA YNEZ		<del>8</del>	99	30	120	4	30	205	ш	ш	ш	Э		មា		យ
TRM	NWS	THERMAL		33	38	0	911	2	0	-34	ш	Э	Э	ш		ш		ш
EL.	NWS	TIJUANA		35	32	4	911	57	2	46	ш	ы	ы	Э		ш		ω.
TOA	NWS	TORRANCE		33	<del>4</del>	15	81	20	48	31	щ	ы	ш	E		<u>ш</u>		ш
NTK	NWS	TUSTIN MCAS		33	42	0	117	46	84	~	ы	ы	ы	ш		ш	H	ш
NXP	NWS	TWENTYNINE PALMS		34	7	8	113	36	36	581	ш	Э	Э	ш		Е	-	ш
ΛΝΛ	NWS	VAN NUYS		34	12	36	8=	53	82	244	E)	ш	Э	Ξ		ш	<u> </u>	en en
ΛBG	NWS	VANDENBERG AFB		34	45	0	120	34	12	Ξ	ш	ы	E)	Э		E		ш
VIS	NWS	VISALIA		36	61	9	611	23	30	68	ы	Ξ	Э	Э		iii		<sub>E</sub>
X.OM	NWS	YUMA		32	39	0	114	36	0	6	Э	Э	ш	3		<u>н</u>	ļ	ш
NYL	NWS	YUMA, AZ		32	39	24	114	36	<u>e</u>	65	ы	ш	ы	Э	-	ш	-	ш
	NWS/NBD			34	52	12	120	52	12		ы	ы	Э	-		:		
	NWS/NBD			34	15	8	120	40	00	3	ы	Э	Э	   				·
	NWS/NBD			33	45	8	611	8	12		ш	9	ய					
	NWS/NBD			35	44	24	121	52	84	3	ш	ы	வ					
	NWS/NBD	ENVIRONM BUOY 46045		33	20	24	<b>8</b>	22	8	3	ш	ш	ш					i
-				34	28	48	120	41	24		ш	ы	ш	:			<u> </u>	
	NWS/NBD			34	4	24	119	51	8	6	ъ	ш	ы				_	
	NWS/NBD			34	16	12	120	27	00	3	ធ	Э	Э	-				
LFCC	POPCO	LAS FLORES-12100 CALLE REAL	60831040	34	28	55	120	2	31	34	ш	ы	ы					
ECSP	SBAPCD	EL CAPITAN STATE PARK	80008809	34	22	39	120	-	44	39	ш	ы	Ε					
GOLE	SBAPCD	GOLETA-380 N FAIRVIEW	60832002	35	56	41	119	47	38	S	ш	ы	Э				_	
LPSH	SBAPCD	LOMPOC-128 S 'H' ST	60832004	34	38	91	120	22	21	32	ш	Э	Е				_	
SYAP	SBAPCD	SANTA YNEZ-AIRPORT RD	60833001	8	36	9	120	4	2	210	ш	ш	Е					
	SBCFCD	GOLETA WEATHER STA.	3090-3096	34	25	8	611	49	12	23	ш	ш	Е		ы		_	
	SBCFCD	LA CUMBRE PEAK	2500-2507	3	30	∞	119	43	9	1187	ш	ы	Е		ш	ш		
	SBCFCD	MARIA YGNACIO RIDGE	2563-2574	34	28	9	119	47	01	305	ப	Е	Ξ		Э		-	
	SBCFCD	MT. CAL. MONASTERY	2510-2517	34	22	4	119	4	15	381	ш	Э	Е		ш	Э		
	SBCFCD	REFUGIO (GAVIOTA VOR)	2537-2540	E	32	8	120	S	2	266	ш	Э				_	_	
	SBCFCD	S. BARBARA/POTRERO	577-584	35	46	4	119	39	02	9191	m	ш	ы		m	<b>1</b>	+	
HANA	SECTO	SUDDEN FEAK	2542-2545	4 5	33	30	120	2 3	91	646	ш	ш	į.	+	Ľ		+	T
AZSA	SCAOMD	AZUSA-803 N LOREN AVE	60370002	34	÷ 0~		112	5 5	22	33	1 (12	2 1	1 E	+	n (r	<u> </u>		
BANN	SCAOMD	BANNING-135 N ALLESANDRO	60650002	33	\$5	40	116	52	26	722	ш	ш					-	
BRBK	SCAQMD	BURBANK-228 W PALM AVE	60371002	34	2	33	118	<u>«</u>	57	168	Э	ш	ш		<u> </u>	!	<u>:</u>	
CMMV	SCAQMD	COSTA MESA-2850 MESA VERDE DR	60591003	33	40	59	117	55	47	25	ш	Э		-	-		•	
LGRE	SCAQMD	CRESTLINE-LAKE GREGORY-LAKE DR	60710005	34	14	38	117	91	56	1384	ш	n		-	m			
ELTR	SCAQMD	EL TORO-23022 EL TORO RD	60592001	33	37	37	117	4	23	137	퍼	E E						
FONT	SCAQMD	FONTANA-14360 ARROW BLVD	60712002	34	9	0	117	30	12	381	ш	ш						
GLDR	SCAQMD	GLENDORA-840 LAUREL	60370016	34	œ	38	117	12	3	275	ш	ы	-			-		ĺ
CDCO	SCAOMD	GLENDORA-LIVE OAK & WHITCOMB	60374001	75	œ	4	117	20	8	271			Ξ	ы			-	
HAWH	SCAOMD	HAWTHORNE-5234 W. 120TH ST	60375001	33	55	51	8:	z	∞	21	ы	ш	Ξ		ш			
HEMT	SCAQMD	HEMET-880 STATE ST	60651002	33	44	31	911	57	82	469	Э	ш	-		4			İ
ODU	SCAQMD	INDIO-46-990 JACKSON ST	60652002	33	42	32	911	12	20	9 9	ы (	<u>ш</u> г	+		+	+	_	
LHAB	SCAOMD	LA HABRA-621 W. LAMBERT	60595001	£ :	3	£ 5	117	52	£ 1	82	ш I	<u>ы</u> і		+	+	1	+	
rers	SCAUMD	LAKE ELSINORE-506 W FLIN I ST	10060000	35	40	67		0.2	, 	440	ı)	<u> </u>		_	-	-	-	7

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude		T	Longitude		Elev.			Var	Variables Measured	easured			Г
9	Source	Site Name	Number	DD	MM	SS	QQ	MM	SS	E	WS	WD	T	Td	RH Pr		SR	Vis
LANC	SCAQMD	LANCASTER-315 W. PONDERA ST	60379002	34	41	23	118	7	55	725	Ξ	Э						
NLGB	SCAQMD	LONG BEACH-3648 N LONG BEACH	60374002	33	49	56	118	=	20	9	ш	ы	ш		Э		-	
LANM	SCAQMD	LOS ANGELES-1630 N MAIN ST	60371103	34	4	_	118	14	31	87	ш	ш	ы		ய		ы	
LYNW	SCAQMD	LYNWOOD-11220 LONG BEACH BLVD	60371301	33	55	44	118	13	35	23	Э	ங						
NORC	SCAQMD	NORCO-NORCONIAN-US NFAC	60650003	33	SS	15	117	34	15	220	ы	ы		_	-	-	-	
PALM	SCAOMD	PALM SPRINGS-FS 590 RACQUET CL	60655001	33	51	17	911	32	31	2	ш	ы				+	1	
PDSW	SCAOMD	PASADENA-752 S. WILSON AVE	60372005	34	S	2	118	9	28	250	ш	ы	ш		+			
PERR	SCAOMD	PERRIS-237.5 N "D" ST	10095909	33	47	27	117	14	31	439	ш	ш					-:	
PICO	SCAOMD	PICO RIVERA-3713 SAN GABRIEL	60371601	34	0	\$	118	3	32	75	ш	ш	ш		Э	Э		
POMA	SCAOMD	POMONA-924 N. GAREY AVE	60371701	34	4	_	117	45	3	270	3	ш			-			
RDLD	SCAOMD	REDLANDS-500 N. DEARBORN	60714003	34	3	35	111	6	35	481	ш	ш						İ
RSDA	SCAOMD	RESEDA-18330 GAULT ST	60371201	*	=	88	811	31	58	226	ш	Ξ		ļ		ļ. <u>-</u>	-	:
RUBI	SCAOMD	RUBIDOUX-5888 MISSION BLVD	60658001	34	0	35	117	25	33	250	ш	ш	ш		ш			ĺ
SANB	SCAOMD	SAN BERNARDINO-24302 4TH ST	60719004	34	9	28	117	91	22	336	ш	ы	ш	<u> </u>	ш		-	
SNBO	SCAOMD	SAN BERNARDING-ARB	60711004	34	5	56	117	40	56	369	ш	Е	Э		_	ш		
CLAR	SCAOMD	SANTA CLARITA-SAN FERNANDO RD	60376002	34	23	9	8:1	32	7	375	ш	ш	ш					
VALA	SCAOMD		60370113	34	3	3	118	27	11	16	ш	ш				<u></u>		
WCOV	SCAOMD	WEST COVINA-820 PHILLIPS ST	60372301	34	4	55	118	_	-	155			Э					
ALPN	SDAQMD	ALPINE-2300 VICTORIA DR	60731006	32	49	58	911	45	0	603	ш	ш	ы				_	
CHVT	SDAQMD	CHULA VISTA-80 E "J" ST	60730001	32	37	22	117	3	21	95	ш	ப						
DMMC	SDAQMD	DEL MAR-MIRACOSTA COLLEGE	60731001	32	57	2	117	52	9	35	ы	Э						
ECAJ	SDAQMD	EL CAJON-1155 REDWOOD AVE	60730003	32	47	27	116	95	31	143	ш	ш	Э		Э.	3		
ESCO	SDAQMD	ESCONDIDO-600 E. VALLEY PKWY	60731002	33	7	\$	117	4	56	204	Œ	ம	Э					
OCEA	SDAQMD	OCEANSIDE-1701 MISSION AVE	60730005	33	12	01	117	22	-	37	Ξ	ш	ш					
OTAY	SDAQMD	OTAY-1100 PASEO INTERNATIONAL	60732007	32	35	2	116	26	91	155	Ε	<u>ы</u>	Θ)		ш			
SD12	SDAQMD	SAN DIEGO-330A 12TH AVE	60731007	32	42	32	117	6	10	9	Е	ш						
SDOV	SDAQMD	SAN DIEGO-5555 OVERLAND AVE	60730006	32	49	40	117	7	58	135	Э	m	ш			-	-	
	SDCFCD	OCOTILLO STATE PARK		33	2	8	116	9	8	128								-
BKGS	SIVUCD	BAKERSFIELD-1138 GOLDEN STATE	60290010	33	23	2	611	0	23	22	Э	ш	Э		Э	Е	ш 	ļ
MRCP	SIVUCD	SCHOOL-755 STANISLAUS ST, MARI	80006209	35	3	4	611	24	4	289	<u></u>	ш	ш		-	1		
ATAS	SLOCO	ATASCADERO-6005 LEWIS AVE	60798001	35	29	22	120	6	4	262	ш	ш	<u>и</u> ,	1		+	-31 -	
GCTY	SLOCO	GROVER CITY-9 LE SAGE DR	60792001	35	-	20	120	37	88	~	E)	ш	ы	1		+	-	İ
MOBY	SLOCO	MORRO BAY-MORRO BAY BL & KERNR	60793001	35	77	4	120	41	38	<b>82</b>	ш	ш	-				1	İ
NPSW	SLOCO	NIPOMO-148 S WILSON ST	60794001	35	2	31	29	8	•	<u> </u>	ы	2) L	21		+	+	1	-
OTC:	TEXACO	GAVIOTA-GTC C 1 MI E OF PLANT	60831019	3	28	53	120	= :	50	82	21 t	23 [	וני		+			
STCB	TEXACO	NOJOQUI PASS-GTC B HWY 101	60831018	<del>,</del>	E 8	9 8	07.1	=	<b>6</b>	S S	ני	נו	נו	-		+	1	
	06.50	UEBWORA OIL BI ATEORM		ž 2	8 8	3 8	120	47	3.8	30					-	-	+	ĺ
	IICSD	HONDO OIL PLATFORM		; <b>;</b>	24	8 8	021	8 8	8	44			İ					
	ncsp	SANTA CRUZ ISLAND		34	8	35	611	55	37	70						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	UCSD	SANTA ROSA ISLAND		34	8	53	120	14	4	11			Ĺ					
LPHS	UNOCAL	LOMPOC-HS&P FACILITY 500 M SW	60831013	34	43	33	120	25	40	220	m	Э	ш					
LOSP	UNOCAL	LOS PADRES NF-PARADISE RD	60831014	34	32	27	119	47	27	547	ш	ធា	ш					
CHIL	USFS	CHILAO	32486786	34	20	0	811	7	0	7991	ப	Ξ	ш		ы			
GLEN	USFS	GLEN ANNIE	3247F476	34	28	24	611	52	10	232	Э	ы	æ		ш			
KEEN	USFS	KEENWILD	32675560	33	42	47	911	42	48	1500	ம	Е	3		ш		_	Ī
MILL	USFS	MILL CREEK	3248416A	34	23	0	118	4	0	1070	ய	Э	Э		Э		-	ĺ
ROSE	USFS	ROSE VALLEY	3242A3CA	34	32	35	119	11	3	1016	ш	Э	Э		ы		+	i
SNMC	USFS	SAN MARCOS	3247E700	34	30	45	611	46	23	671	ш	ы	Э		ш			
TANB	USFS	TANBARK	324837FA	34	10	0	117	46	0	793	ш	ы	3		ш			j
WARM	USFS	WARM SPRINGS	3248521C	34	35	0	118	33	٥	1226	ш	Э	Э		ш —	-	1	
			1															

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Cite	Date		Site		Latitudo			Longitude		Flav			Var	M seldei	Variables Measured			Γ
	Source	Site Name	Number	OO	MM	SS	DO	MM		E	WS	QW.	T	Td	RH	Pr .	SR	Vis
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR4		34	31	51	120	33	55	120	ш	E)		┼	╄	<del> </del>	┼	
1	VBG AFB	VBG TWR5		ŧ	5	13	120	34	91	101	ш	ы			: 			
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR7		34	43	52	120	32	10	136	В	Э			 	<u></u>	: -	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR8		34	49	32	120	30	30	280	n	Э						-
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR14		34	36	31	120	31	31	442	Э	Э						
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR15		34	46	16	120	31	51	174	ш	ш		-				
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR17		34	22	26	120	38	12	38	Э	ы				-	-	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR18		34	20	42	120	34	22	6	ш	ப				_	1	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR20		35	36	23	120	22	52	94	ii)	ш				-	-	:
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR50		34	48	02	120	35	SS	4	ш	ш						
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR51		34	42	36	120	33	\$5	122	ш	Э				-		
	VBG AFB			34	44	60	120	35	43	88	ш	ш		- :		<del>-</del>	-	İ
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR53		34	33	24	120	36	42	17	ш	ш	1	+				İ
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR54	i	34	38	31	120	35	28	137	ш	Э	7			-		
•	VBG AFB	VBG TWR55		34	35	4	170	35	39	466	ш	ъ	1			-		
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR56		34	34	59	120	33	9	652	ш	ы	1			-	-	:
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR57		35	40	10	120	35	21	8	ш	ш				-	_	
i	VBG AFB	VBG TWR58		8	4	20	120	32	-	14	ш	ш						
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR59		34	48	80	120	34	22	69	ы	ш				_		
 	VBG AFB	VBG TWR60		34	50	59	120	35	57	37	ы	Е						
!	VBG AFB	VBG TWR61		34	38	33	120	33	21	154	ы	Э	L					
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR64		34	36	20	120	33	03	372	ш	ш						
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR65		34	33	89	120	53	59	979	ш	ш					! 	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR66		34	33	54	120	33	17	∞	Ξì	ш	•					
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR101		34	36	38	120	33	28	329	ப	ш						
į	VBG AFB	VBG TWR102		34	4\$	30	120	37	81	99	យ	ш				-	+	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR200		34	36	78	120	37	35	95	ш	ш						
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR300		34	38	10	120	36	20	117	Э	ы			-	-	-	
	VBG AFB	VBG TWR301		34	34	48	120	37	57	911	ш	ш					_	
VBPP	VBGAFB	VANDENBERG AFB-STS POWER PLANT	60834003	3	35	45	120	37	41	8	ш	ш 	<b>(2)</b>	+	ш	+	+	į
VBWT	VBGAFB	VANDENBERG AFB-WATT RD	60835001	*	40	2	120	36	21	87	ы	<u> </u>	ı ı		-	-	+	i !
ELRO	VCAPCD	EL RIO-RIO MESA SCHOOL	61113001	# R	2 2	2 5	611	× 5	7 9	34	ם נו	n c	ם		n n		נו	
CMMA	VCAPCD	OIALOIAI AVENITE	611119	÷   5	3,4	67	110	17	3 =	23.1	ם נ	ם	3 2	+	7 [1			
PRTG	VCAPCD	PIRU-2SW, 2815 TELEGRAPH RD	61110004	34	23	25	8	49	26	182	п	ı m	<u>ы</u>		ы		H	
SVAL	VCAPCD	SIMI VALLEY-5400 COCHRAN ST	61112002	34	91	40	118	41	5	310	Э	ED)	Э		æ	-	Э	(L)
	VCFCD	CIRCLE X WEATHER		34	90	33	118	28	9	200		-			-	-		
	VCFCD	COUNTY CENTER		#	91	05	119	12	32	85								1
	VCFCD	EL RIO CNTY. YARD		34	14	30	119	Ξ	38	34						-	-	
	VCFCD	FILLMORE FISH H.		34	23	88	811	53	05	142		1			+	+	+	
	VCFCD	HUNGRY VALLEY		25.	\$ 8	E   8	<u>8</u>	25	2 3	1220				1		$\frac{1}{1}$	+	
1	VCFCD	LA CUMBRE PEAK		5	3 3	8 8	61:	2 3	9	9911	1	1		+		-	+	
	VCFCD	LA GRANADA MT		4	2 5	70		3	2 2	080		1		+		+	+	
	VCFCD	LANG KANCH WINK, SIN.		£ 2	71	17	81 2	48	8 3	455	1				+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	
	VCFCD	LAS LLAJAS WEATHER		1 2	9 3	5 8	91	7 2	2 2	6	-						1	
	VCECD	LAS FUSAS HILLS		2 2	44	8 6	911	3 8	6	1570						+		
	VCFCD	ROSE VALLEY		*	32	22	611	=	4	1037						_	+	Ī
	VCFCD	S. BAR. BOTAN, GARDEN		34	27	8	119	9	48	381				-	<u>!</u>			
	VCFCD	SOUTH MT. WEST		34	91	58	119	0.5	36	201								
	VCFCD	STEWART CYN. WTHR.		34	27	36	119	14	84	274								

Appendix B. Surface Meteorology Sites

Site	Data		Site		Latitude		I	ongitude		Elev.			Vai	Variables Measured	feasure	-		
9	Source	Source Site Name	Number	aa	MM	SS	ΩΩ	MM	SS	2	S/A	MS WD	Т	T Td RH Pr SR	E	P.	SR	Vis
	VCFCD	WEST BIG PINE		34	4	56	611	39	52	1939					-			
ARGR	XONTEC	ARGR XONTEC ARROYO GRANDE-RALCOA WAY	60791005	3\$	7	38	120	i	47	300	щ	ம						
_																		
KEY				:														
E - Existi	ng resource	E - Existing resource																
P - Propo.	sed resource for	or other effort, can be used by SCOS97			:		:											
R - Recor	R - Recommended for SCOS97	268035																
A - Augn	nent existing re	A - Augment existing resource for SCOS97			-										-	!		
L - Reloc	Relocated resource for SCOS97	for SCOS97																

# Appendix C

Protocol for SCOS97-NARSTO

Performance Audit and Laboratory Comparison
for Speciated Hydrocarbon Measurements

# Protocol for SCOS97-NARSTO Performance Audit and Laboratory Comparison for Speciated Hydrocarbon Measurements

## 1.0 Purpose and Overview

- Performance audits of hydrocarbon measurements are being conducted as part of an external quality assessment program for the SCOS97-NARSTO Study. The purpose of the audits are to document differences that may exist between laboratories in the SCOS study area (greater than 30% for concentrations of individual species above 1.0 ppbC).
- 1.2 The audits will consist of a review by Desert Research Institute (DRI) of standard operating procedures (SOPs) used by each measurement group, and performance audits consisting of two ambient samples.

## 2.0 Participants

2.1 Coordination, Data Compilation and Analysis

Desert Research Institute (Eric Fujita, e-mail: ericf@sage.dri.edu, 702/677-3311 FAX - 702/677-3157) P.O. Box 60220 (Street Address: 5625 Fox Ave.) Reno, NV 89506

California Air Resources Board (Randy Pasek, e-mail: 916/323- FAX 916/322-4357) P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812

2.2 Collection of Ambient Audit Samples

Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division (Contact Person, Voice - phone; FAX - phone; e-mail) address.

## 2.3 Participating Laboratories

- (1.) California Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division (Contact Person, Voice phone; FAX phone; e-mail) address.
- (2.) ? U.S. EPA National Exposure Research Laboratory (Bob Seila, 919/541-2214; FAX 919/541-4787) mailing address: MailDrop 84 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 shipping address for canisters: EPA Tech Center Alexander Drive & Highway 54 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
- (3.) Biospheric Research Corporation (Rei Rasmussen, 503/690-1077; FAX 503/690-1029) 19600 N.W. Von Neumann Drive Beaverton, Oregon 97006-1999
- (4.) Desert Research Institute (Barbara Zielinska, e-mail: <u>barbz@sage.dri.edu</u>, 702/6773198; FAX 702/677-3157) mailing address: P.O. Box 60220 Reno, NV 89506 shipping address: 5625 Fox Avenue Reno, NV 89506
- (5.) San Diego Air Pollution Control District (Contact persion, Voice phone; FAX phone; e-mail) address.

- (6.) South Coast Air Quality Management District (Contact Person, Voice phone; FAX phone; e-mail) address.
- (7.) Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (Contact Person, Voice phone; FAX phone; e-mail) address.
- (8.) Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Contact Person, Voice phone; FAX phone; e-mail) address.

## 3.0 Specific Objectives and Approach

- 3.1 Review SOPs to identify differences in analytical methods and procedures that may cause differences in the data produced by participating laboratories. Aspects of SOPs to be reviewed by DRI will include cleaning and certifying canisters and samplers, calibration methods and reference materials, use and maintenance of dryers for water management, sample trapping and injection methods, choice of chromatographic column and operating conditions, peak integration procedure and selection of threshold, peak identification procedures, and data processing and management.
- 3.2 Assess stability of specific compounds in canisters over a time period comparable to the average holding time during the field study.
- 3.3 Determine significant systematic biases (greater than 30% for concentrations above 1.0 ppbC for individual compounds and greater than 20% for total nonmethane hydrocarbons) due to analytical methods and procedures. DRI will determine consistency in peak identification for individual species, total NMHC, and fraction of unidentified NMHC.

## 4.0 Management and Communication Protocol

- 4.1 Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division will arrange for collection of ambient samples.
- 4.2 Randy Pasek of the ARB will receive forward data from participants to DRI for analysis.
- 4.2 Eric Fujita of DRI will prepare a summary report for review by participants.

### 5.0 Documentation

Each laboratory is to submit the following documentation to Eric Fujita: 1) description of collection and analysis methods and standard operating procedures for canister and sampler cleaning and certification, sample collection, sample analysis, data processing and management, quality control and assessment; 2) list of compounds in the retention time library; and 3) definition of minimum detection limits and measurement precision.

## 6.0 Performance Audit Samples

6.1 Each participating laboratory will supply to ARB in Sacramento, CA two cleaned, evacuated 6-liter canisters by April 25, 1997. EPA, ARB and DRI will supply two additional canisters (four in all).

- ARB will fill the two sets of canisters to 20-25 psi with ambient air from the Los Angeles area using a manifold sampling system supplied by the Desert Research Institute. One set of canisters will be collected in the morning (after 6:00 a.m. and before 9:00 a.m., PDT) in an area heavily influenced by mobile source emission. The other set will be collected in the afternoon (after 1:00 p.m. and before 4:00 p.m., PDT) in a downwind area with maximum ozone levels. Duplicate samples will be collected for EPA, ARB and DRI (total of eleven simultaneous canister samples at each site).
- 6.3 ARB will send the two (or four) ambient audit samples to each participating laboratory by May 6, 1997.
- Each laboratory will analyze the audit samples within five working days after receiving the audit canisters. EPA, ARB and DRI will reanalyze their samples after one and two months to monitor the stability of the audit samples.

## 7.0 Data Submittal and Analysis

- 7.1 Each laboratory will send copies of chromatograms and a data report (species identification, retention times, individual species concentrations in ppbC, and total nonmethane organic gases including unidentified hydrocarbons) to Randy Pasek by June 6, 1997. The list of identified compounds should be those normally reported by each group (PAMS target list in most cases).
- 7.2 Corrections to originally submitted data must be accompanied by sufficient documentation of the reasons.
- 7.3 Analysis of the data by DRI will include linear regression of data for each participating laboratory versus the average values (individual values exceeding two standard deviations of the mean of all values will be removed from the average). Differences in species concentrations of greater than 30% (for concentrations above 1 ppbC) between individual laboratories versus the adjusted mean values. Chromatograms will be examined to identify possible discrepancies in species identification.
- 7.4 Results will be sent to all participants for review and comments within three weeks after all data reports have been submitted. Each of the laboratories will be identified in the report by code only (letter code selected at random).

## Appendix D

DRI 12-Port Manifold Canister Sampling System Operator Instructions

# DRI 12-Port Manifold Canister Sampling System Operator Instructions

Revised August 20, 1996

## -1.0 CONTACT

Questions or comments regarding the manifold canister sampling system should be addressed to Larry Sheetz of the Desert Research Institute (e-mail larrys@sage.dri.edu, 702/677-3199).

## 2.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The manifold canister sampler is designed to collect up to twelve 6-liter canister samples simultaneously at a maximum flow rate of approximately 1.6 liters/min. A stainless steel Viton pump draws in ambient air from a 316 stainless steel 12-port manifold to fill and pressurize the canisters. A flow control device maintains a constant flow into the canisters over the desired sampling period. The sampling system is shipped in two pieces, which are both contained in one shipping box. The sampler is contained in a white 2'x2'x2' box. This box also contains the manifold assembly which is packed in an aluminum box, and a plastic bag containing all necessary fittings and tools. The twelve 1/8-inch stainless steel sampling lines that are used to connect the canisters to the sampling manifold are contained in a separate brown cardboard box.

## 3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT

Prior to shipment of the manifold sampler, the 12-port manifold, fittings and stainless steel sampling lines are cleaned with deionized water and baked at 170°C for 24 hours. The canister sampling system is cleaned prior to field sampling by purging them with humidified zero air for 48 hours, followed by purging with dry UHP zero air for 1 hour. The canister sampling system is certified clean by the GC/FID analysis of humidified zero air collected through the sampling system. The system is considered clean if the concentration of any individual targeted compound is less than 0.2 ppbv and total NMOC concentration is less than 20 ppbC. In addition, a challenge sample, consisting of a blend of organic compounds of known concentration in clean humidified zero air, is collected through the sampling system and analyzed by the GC/FID method. The sampling system is considered non-biasing if revoeries of each of the challenge compounds iss in the range of 80-120% (EPA document EPA/600-8-91/215). The 12-port manifold sampler is also pressure tested for possible leaks.

## 4.0 PREPARATION FOR SAMPLING

1. Before departing for the field, ensure that all sampling components that are described in section 2 are accounted for. Verify that all canisters are properly labeled and have at least -25 psi vacuum. Take appropriate number of blank field data sheets.

#### 5.0 SAMPLER SETUP

- 2. Remove aluminum case and plastic bag from white sampling box.
- 3. Remove the 12-port sampling manifold from the aluminum case and place on the right edge of the white sampling box using the thumb screws to fasten in place (note photograph). The thumb screws can be left loose at this point to allow the manifold to slide until ¼-inch tubings are installed.
- 4. Remove the longer of the two ¼-inch tubing (marked with purple tape on one end) from the aluminum case. Use the 9/16-inch wrench (contained in the plastic bag) to remove the cap from the pump in the sampling box, and attach the end of the ¼-inch tubing with the purple tape. Connect the opposite end of the tube to the T-fitting on the 12-port sampling manifold (the connection pointing to the back of the sampler).
- 5. Remove the remaining ¼-inch tubing (marked with gray tape on one end) from the aluminum case. Remove the cap from the port marked canister on the sampler assembly, and attach the end of the ¼-inch tubing with the gray tape. Connect the opposite end of the tube to the 3-way T-fitting on the 12-port sampling (the connection pointing to the front of the sampler).
- 6. Tighten thumb screws on the sampling manifold after aligning the ¼-inch tubing. Attach the sampling line to filter holder. Adjust alignment of the filter holder before tightening.
- 7. Remove the 110-v power cord from plastic bag and plug cord into the power socket located in the right rear of the sample
- 8. Plug power cord into wall power source. Sampling fan and timer clock should come on.
- 9. Remove cap from sample exhaust (located above timer).

## 6.0 ATTACHING CANISTERS TO THE 12-PORT SAMPLING MANIFOLD

- 1. Place up to twelve cans in four rows of three as shown in the photograph.
- 2. After placing cans in position, remove 1/8-inch stainless steel canister sampling lines from cardboard box. Note that the shortest lines are marked lines 1 through 3. These numbers correspond to the position on the 12-port sampling manifold. Remove aluminum foil from both ends of the sampling line and connect the lines to the sampling manifold and canisters starting with the canisters closest to the sampler. Remove caps on the 12-port sampling manifold as each sampling line is installed. Check that caps on all unused ports are tightly fasten.

#### 7.0 OPERATION OF MANIFOLD SAMPLER

The valve (marked with a white arrow) on the 12-port sampling manifold has two positions: atmosphere and pressure. The sampling lines are open to the atmosphere when the arrow points to the back of the sampler. To pressurize the canister, the arrow is position to point to the front of the sampler.

- 1. Place manifold valve in pressure position (i.e., pointing to front of sampler).
- 2. Open canister valves one at a time noting that each canister is under vacuum by reading the pressure gauge nearest to the front of the sampler. All canisters should have been checked for vacuum back in the laboratory prior to going out to the field. Connecting a canister that is not under vacuum can cross contaminate all other open canisters that are connected to the manifold.
- 3. After connecting all canisters and turn manifold valve to atmosphere position (i.e., pointing to back of sampler). All canisters will come up to zero (ambient) pressure. Check pressure gauge.
- 4. Turn manifold valve to pressure position (i.e., pointing to front of sampler).
- 5. Turn pump on by positioning the toggle switch (located front left of the timer box) to the on position (right). Pump will come on and the red and green lights on the timer will come on. Red light means that the pump in on, and the green light means that the sampling solenoid is open.
- 6. Leave pump on until the gauge pressure reads 15 psi. At a flow rate of 1.6 liters/min, it should take approximately 40 minutes to fill twelve canisters.
- 7. To terminate sampling, turn toggle switch to the off position and <u>immediately close all</u> <u>canister valves</u>. Sampling is complete.
- 8. Fill out the appropriate information on the field data sheets.
- 9. Carefully reinstall all parts, manifold and sampling tubes.

# Appendix E

Protocol for SCOS97

Performance Audit and Laboratory Comparison
for Carbonyl Compound Measurements

# Protocol for SCOS97 Performance Audit and Laboratory Comparison for Carbonyl Compound Measurements

## 1.0 Purpose and Overview

- 1.1 Performance audits of carbonyl measurements are being conducted as part of an external quality assessment program for the SCOS97-NARSTO. The purpose of these audits are to document differences that may exist between measurement groups.
- 1.2 The audit consists of a review by Desert Research Institute (DRI) of standard operating procedures (SOPs) used by each measurement group, and collection of samples from a standard mixture of carbonyl compounds under field condition.

## 2.0 Participants

1.1 Coordination, Data Compilation and Analysis

Desert Research Institute (Dr. Eric Fujita, ericf@sage.dri.edu, 702/677-3311 FAX - 702/677-3157) P.O. Box 60220 (Street Address: 5625 Fox Ave.) Reno, NV 89506. Questions regarding the audit protocol should be addressed to Dr. Fujita.

California Air Resources Board, Research Division (Dr. Randy Pasek)

1.2 Audit Equipment

Desert Research Institute (Larry Sheetz, larrys @sage.dri.edu, office - 702/677-3199, cellular - 702/742-0986 FAX - 702/677-3157) P.O. Box 60220 (Street Address: 5625 Fox Ave.) Reno, NV 89506.

1.3 Preparation of Carbonyl Standard

Desert Research Institute (Dr. Barbara Zielinska, barbz@sage.dri.edu, 702/677-3198 FAX - 702/677-3157) P.O. Box 60220 (Street Address: 5625 Fox Ave.) Reno, NV 89506.

1.4 Reference Laboratory

Air Resources Board, Monitoring and Laboratory Division?

1.5 Laboratories to be Audited

Note: Before shipping the standard gas mixture and dilution system to the next group on the list, please call to verify that the group is participating in the audit and is ready to conduct the audit.

(1) AtmAA DNPH-impregnated C<sub>18</sub> cartridges/HPLC-UV - AtmAA Sampler) Laboratory - Atmospheric Assessment Associates, AtmAA (Kochy Fung, 818/223-3277; FAX - 818/223-8250) 23917 Craftsman Rd. Calabasas, CA 91302

San Diego Air Pollution Control District (Contact Person, Voice - phone; FAX - phone; e-mail) address.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (Contact Person, Voice - phone; FAX - phone; e-mail) address.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (Contact Person, Voice - phone; FAX - phone; e-mail) address.

## 3.0 Specific Objectives and Approach

- 3.1 Review Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) to identify differences in sampling and analytical methods and procedures that may cause differences in the data produced by participating laboratories. Aspects of SOPs to be reviewed by DRI will include cleaning and certifying samplers, sample volume determination, sample handling and storage, extraction efficiency and associated variability, analytical calibration methods and reference materials, blank levels and variability, and data processing and management.
- 3.2 The carbonyl performance audit will consist of sampling under field conditions with addition of a standard mixture of carbonyls from a 6-liter stainless steel canister to an ambient sample. The canister also contains benzene for quality control purposes. The main supply of the standard mixture is maintained at the Desert Research Institute in a 33-liter canister. DRI will supply a standard mixture of carbonyl compounds in a 6-liter transfer canister and a dilution system. Each group will collect two replicate samples from the transfer canister according to their normal PAMS or SCOS97 sampling protocol with the following restrictions. The standard audit protocol will consist of a 3-hour ambient sample using two DNPH cartridges in series (same as a breakthrough experiment) at a nominal flow rate of 1 liter of ambient air per minute. Contact DRI if you wish to use any other sampling interval or flow rate. The standard mixture is added at a nominal flow rate of 5 milliliters per minute in between the two cartridges. The front cartridge serves to scrub ambient carbonyl compounds and ozone. Each group will collect two samples and pass the 6-liter canister and gas dilution system on to the next group listed in section 1.3. Blank shipping labels are provided (see Section 5). Because there is only one dilution system, it is essential that all groups be prepared to conduct the audit expeditiously when it is their turn. The 6-liter canisters will hold sufficient sample for three groups. A new supply of standard gas will be sent to the 4th, 7th, and 10th groups. These groups should exchange the new canister with the one in the dilution system and return the older canister to DRI.
- 3.3 DRI will analyze the contents of the transfer canisters by DNPH cartridge sampling and HPLC analysis prior to shipment and upon its return. The contents of the main tank will be periodically analyzed by both DNPH/HPLC and GC/FID (for higher MW carbonyls).

# 4.0 Preparation and Transfer of the Carbonyl Gas Standard

Five carbonyl compounds and benzene were directly injected by microsyringe into a oneliter glass bulb. The mixture in the glass bulb was transferred into a 33-liter stainless steel canister by sweeping the contents of the bulb into the canister with humidified nitrogen gas to a pressure of 30 psi. Dry nitrogen gas was then added to the canister to bring the total canister pressure up to 80 psi. The mixture was prepared on month xx, 1997 and analyzed by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection on month xx, 1997.

Three aliquots of the standard mixture were withdrawn from the 33-liter canisters into three 6-liter transfer canisters on month xx, 1997. Samples were collected on DNPH  $C_{18}$  cartridges from each of the three 6-liter canisters and the 33-liter canister. Samples were analyzed on month xx, 1997.

# 5.0 General Description of the Gas Standard Dilution and Delivery System

The gas standard dilution and delivery system is designed to allow the addition of standard gas mixtures from a 6-liter canister at a constant flow of up to 20 sccm (70° F and 29.92 in. Hg). It consists of a Sierra Instruments, Inc. Gas-Trak mass flow controller, model number 810C-SQR-249 (Serial No. C1261), a 6-liter stainless steel gas standard transfer canister, and a 3-way valve and tee assembly. The flow controller was adapted by Sierra Instruments, Inc. from an existing model to meet the specific requirements of this audit. The flow controller is preset to provide a flow of 5.0 ml per minute. Prior to shipment of the gas dilution and delivery system, the fittings and stainless steel sampling lines are cleaned with deionized water and baked at 170°C for 24 hours.

The dilution and delivery system and all accessories are self contained in a blue 1'x1'x2' fiberglass box, which is shipped in a wooden crate. A storage compartment located in the top portion of the blue box contains a plastic bag with all necessary fittings, fuses and tools, sampling log book, instruction manual, and blank shipping labels.

## 6.0 Preparation for Sampling

- Before departing for the field, ensure that all sampling components that are described in section 2 are accounted for. Verify that all cartridges are properly labeled. Take appropriate number of blank field data sheets.
- 6.2 Check the operation of your carbonyl sampler in accordance with your own standard operating procedures, and set the flow of the sampler to approximately 1 liter/min.
- Prepare the number of blank DNPH cartridges that are specified in the audit protocol and a fresh KI denuder or scrubber, if it is part of your normal sampling procedure.

## 7.0 Gas Standard Dilution and Delivery System Setup

- 7.1 Remove the blue fiberglass box containing the dilution and delivery system from the wooden shipping crate.
- 7.2 Before opening the blue box, install the rear support by inserting the support peg into the rear of the box. Unlock the latches in the front of the box, and carefully open the lid to its full open position.
- 7.3 Pull power cord from the upper portion of the box and connect the plug to a 118 V AC, 60 cycle power source (standard 110 volt outlet).
- 7.4 Remove the sampling line system from the storage compartment which is located on the upper half of the blue box. Install the ¼ inch stainless steel tubing that is connected to the 3-way valve and tee assembly to the flow controller outlet marked sample line. Using the ¼ inch Teflon line provided, connect one open end of the tee to your sampling system, upstream of the KI denuder or scrubber and the sample DNPH cartridge, and the other open end of the tee to the sample inlet. Attach a second DNPH cartridge to the inlet to remove any carbonyl compounds present in the ambient air.

## 8.0 Flow Controller Measurements and Initial Purge

- 8.1 Place the valve in a position pointing to the left (open to atmosphere, labeled QA gas purge). Turn the power on and let the flow controller zero stabilize. Record five readings at ten second intervals. Do not attempt to adjust if reading is within -0.5 and 0.5 ml/min.
- 8.2 Open the 6-liter transfer gas standard canister and record canister pressure in the log book. The flow of standard gas will begin to purge the sample line. Allow the system to purge for at least five minutes to stabilize the flow. Record five readings at ten second intervals. The flow should read about 5 ml/min. Allow the flow to stabilize further if the variability of the five readings exceeds ± 0.1 ml/min. (Adjustments should be made only if the indicated flow is greater than 7 or less than 3 ml/min. Adjustment can be made by turning the screw labeled local set point with the screwdriver provided in the storage compartment.

## 9.0 Collection of the Audit Sample

- 9.1 Turn your carbonyl sampler on and begin ambient sampling through your cartridge sampling line. Measure and record the sampler flow rate.
- 9.2 Start the flow of standard gas to the carbonyl sampling line by turning the valve to the right (labeled QA gas sampling). Continue sampling for the sampling time prescribed in the audit protocol.
- 9.3 At the end of the sampling period, turn off the flow of standard gas by turning the valve to the left (QA gas purge). Immediately record flow rate and canister pressure, and close canister (turning handle on the canister valve clockwise).

- 9.4 Turn power off and finish recording appropriate information on the field data sheets.
- 9.5 Replace all items to the storage box and lock "s" hook.
- 9.6 Push power cord in, close the box, and remove the stabilizing peg from rear of the box.
- 9.7 Replace the blue fiberglass box into the shipment crate and send the crate to the next laboratory according to the audit protocol
- A minimum of three field blanks will be collected during the audit. During the audit, cartridge end-caps for the blank cartridges will be removed and left off for the time required to place new cartridges in the sampler (typically a few minutes). After this brief exposure the blank cartridges will be sealed again with the end-caps (check that plugs are tight), and placed inside the sampler until the end of the audit.

## 10.0 Laboratory Analysis

10.1 Each analytical laboratory will process and analyze all samples for this audit within five working days after sample collection. Each laboratory will perform two replicate measurements for each of the two samples in order to determine analytical precision.

## 11.0 Data Submittal and Exchange Protocol

- 11.1 Each laboratory will report their data to Eric Fujita in hardcopy and electronic form (either ASCII, dbf, excel or lotus) within one month of receipt of audit sample. The submittal will include: concentration data and associated uncertainties for individual carbonyl compounds in the standard mixture; field data needed to calculate sample volumes including temperature and pressure if volume adjustments are made and nominal volume uncertainties; and laboratory data (mass of analyte per sample, extraction volume and corrections, if any, for extraction efficiency, and all blank samples used in deriving the mean blank subtraction and uncertainty.
- DRI will recalculate concentrations based on the field and analysis data and associated uncertainties. DRI will send the final database to participants for review and resolve any discrepancies with concentration data reported by each group prior to performing the data analysis. Corrections by participants to originally submitted data must be accompanied by sufficient documentation of the reasons.

## 12.0 Data Analysis and Preparation of Summary Report

12.1 The results of the carbonyl measurement performance audit will be summarized in a report that will be sent to all participants for review and comments.